NATIONAL WEEKLY

Social Justice

Founded 1936 by Father Coughlin

Royal Oak, Michigan | September 9, 1940 | Subscription: Three Dollars Per Year | 10¢

PEACETIME DRAFT NEW STEP TO DICTATORSHIP

Jews Put Pressure on Willkie to Hit at Father Coughlin

(Story on Page 3)

Wallace Calls Roosevelt Opposition Fifth Column

(See Page 6)

Old Smears Revived to Head Off Radio Season

COMMENT ON WORLD EVENTS ★ HEMISPHERE DEFENSE ★ RIVAL BANK GROUPS VIE FOR CONTROL

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Dinner Chat

GOOD friends of SOCIAL JUSTICE National Magazine—and we sincerely hope that all our readers are—frequently write in to counsel the hardworking editorial staff. We welcome this comradeship and friendly counsel.

Occasionally, the burden of these advices are to the effect that we are "too rough on the enemy."

This is always a problem for the crusading editor. How far shall he go? Shall he fight fire with fire; or fire with a soda water siphon?

We are a bit heartened to find other editors have the same problem. A recent editorial in the *Chicago Tribune* answers its own correspondents—and ours—so ably that we quote the Chicago editor's observations.

"Occasionally a friendly reader calls into question editorial practices and modes of expression in political criticism," says the editorial. "Because the friendly reader is interested, as the *Tribune* is interested, in the success of the cause, he asks his paper to consider whether it might not be better to temper the utterances and pull the punches.

"The *Tribune* has not been deaf to the remarks that over a long period of years its treatment of public men has made them more friends than opponents. Usually that is the observation of ill-wishers, but not always so. There are tender minded people who do not like tough minded criticism.

"Over the period involved there have been some notorious political characters whose activities the *Tribune* has tried to stop because they were degrading to political practices, an imposition upon the people, and a danger to American institutions.

"These notorious characters obtained their dominance by unscrupulous methods, and it never seemed to the *Tribune* that the proper way to fight a powerful scoundrel was with a feather duster. He used all the bludgeons that corruption could place in his hands and honest people couldn't fight him with thin sticks. . . .

"There is an objection to the *Tribune* when it demonstrates that some of the characteristics of Mr. Roosevelt are the characteristics of European dictators. Mr. Roosevelt's bent for arbitrary power cannot be demonstrated unless it is illustrated. If it is not to be illustrated, the strategy of the opposition is then dictated by the party in power. That comes to a censorship imposed by the people who are to be injured by it.

"Surely it is plain that Mr. Roosevelt has destroyed the freedom of the Democratic party and is endeavoring to overwhelm the Republican.

"If the people are not to be warned, and warned with all the vigor of criticism, that a dictatorial man seeks a third term to continue in the office in which he has been so headstrong, then what happened to the German people in 1933 may happen to the American people in 1941.

"That's our way of looking at it and we'd like to persuade the friendly objectors that we're right."

' ' '

Caesar's Things—and God's

PORTUGAL is one of the most Catholic countries in the world, Catholic not only in its population but Catholic in its spirit.

Its government has repeatedly stated that it is seeking to put into action in the country's social and economic institutions, the principles of social justice, enunciated by the Popes.

"If the Church sought political domination," says the *Catholic News* of New York, "Catholic Portugal would be an ideal nation in which to effect it, and we should expect to find it most evident in any concordat between the government and the Vatican.

"But the *Vatican-Portugal Concordat,*" continues the editorial, "is a splendid example of rendering unto Caesar the things that are Caesar's and unto God the things that are God's.

"It again demonstrates that the Church seeks only the freedom essential to its Divine mission, which is spiritual and not political.

"A study of the *Concordat* ought to be reassuring to those apprehensive souls who express concern about the possibility of the Vatican taking over the United States because the President sent a personal representative to the Holy See."

Warning

In remitting money for subscriptions, or for the purchase of books announced in the magazine, do not send money loose in the mails.

In all cases be sure to remit by money order or bank check.

Postal money order or express money order is preferable to personal bank check but in no case should currency be sent through the mail.

Pete Is Not on a Diet

HELLO, FOLKS:

Well, now that Labor Day's taken care uv f'r annuther year 'n we haven't got a holaday t' look forward to 'til Thanksgivin' Day—by th' way, when is it gonna happen this year?—we might's well settul down 'n get in th' groove, so t' speak. So here goes annuther bit uv chatter 'bout this 'n that 'n th' other thing.

There isn't much t' tell y' this week, 'cause—well, jus' 'cause, that's all. Nothin' unushul happened. We had our weather in Royal Oak same's you 'n th' same hussul 'n bussul 'bout gettin' th' new school year under way 'n all that kind uv stuff. But what c'n I tell *you* 'bout that? After all, I guess y're pretty well informed 'bout such things 'n c'n tell me what fuss there is gettin' Junior started off on th' right foot along that long road t' sukses.

I watch th' p'liceman down at th' korner take the littul boys 'n girls 'cross Woodward Avenue every day. Gee, they look cute—all shined up as bright's a new penny 'n feelin' reel grown-up 'n imp'rtunt with their new school books tucked inta their new school bags 'n all. Some uv 'em carry a bunch uv flowers t' th' teacher ev'ry day 'n some take that apple y' hear 'bout. Boy, there's nothin' like a littul brib'ry, eh? I c'd tell 'em it won't do 'em enny good, but then, I ses t' myself, let 'em find it out f'r themselves like I did. Yep, when I was goin' t' my speshul class when they were tryin' to make a gentulman dog outta me I tried th' ol' soft-soapin' too—but it didn't work—I hadda take th' gaff same's th' others did. 'Course, maybe flowers 'n fruit 'n stuff like that works a littul better'n bones 'n doggy kisses 'n other signs uv 'fekshun. Y' never c'n tell 'til y' try. 'N there isn't enny law sayin' y' can't try, is there?

' ' '

Speakin' 'bout laws remin's me—I gotta letter from one uv my readers 'n he's all upset 'bout this here konscripshun law we've been hearin' so much uv 'n he wants me t' do somethin' 'bout it. Well, t' tell y' th' truth—I don't think that's along my line 'n 'sides, from what I hear, I don't think there's much ennybuddy else c'n do 'bout it either. Looks like it's jus' one uv those things. Th' way it looks t' me from way down here where I keep myself that we're all in th' army now in some kapacuty. Golly! I wonder what Pal 'n Y'rs Truly'll hafta do. 'R are they leavin' babies, cats 'n dogs out uv it f'r th' time bein'?

Well, what's gonna come's gonna come—'n right now I'm gonna go. I see somethin' mighty int'restin' lookin' comin' my way 'n I don't wanna miss out on it. Yep, Mr. Coughlin's bringin' me my lunch 'n th' bones look like there's a bit more meat on 'em 'n ushul. Y' don't mind, d'y', folks, if I say goodbye now?

Oh, yes, 'fore I rush off—don't f'rget th' other bones I'm lookin' for all th' time from you. Those subskripshuns y' send in 're th' staff uv life 's far's I'm konserned, 'cause when they stop—well, let's don't even *think* uv that!

Well, pardon me, folks—but *I'm not* on a diet! 'N while I'd jus' love t' keep right on visitun' with y' f'r th' rest uv th' mornin', I've gotta eat reg'larly if I'm gonna stay my ushul helthy self. Y know how 'tis!

See y' later. 'Bye!

—Pete

16 PRINCIPLES OF SOCIAL JUSTICE

Liberty of conscience and education.

Just living annual wage.

Nationalization of important public resources.

Private ownership of all other property.

Control of private property for public good.

Abolition of Federal Reserve Banking System and establishment of a Government-owned Central Bank.

Restoration to Congress of its sole right to coin and regulate the value of money.

Cost of living maintained on an even keel.

Cost of production plus a fair profit for the farmer.

Labor's right to organize.

Recall of non-productive bonds.

Abolition of tax-exempt bonds.

Broadened base of taxation on basis of ownership and capacity to pay.

Simplification of Government and lower taxes.

Conscription of wealth as well as men in event of war.

Sanctity of human rights preferred to sanctity of property with Government's chief concern for the poor.

SOCIAL JUSTICE, National Weekly, Copyrighted 1940 by the Social Justice Publishing Company; publication and editorial office, Royal Oak, Michigan. Subscription price, United States and possessions, $3.00 per year. Unless otherwise directed, all subscriptions begin with the current issue. Three weeks are required to effect change of subscriber's address. Give both old and new addresses. Entered as second class matter, March 12, 1937, at the Post Office, Royal Oak, Michigan, under the Act of March 3, 1879. E. Perrin Schwartz, Editor; Cora Quinlan, Secretary-Treasurer.

SOCIAL JUSTICE is fully protected by copyright and nothing that appears in it may be reprinted, either wholly or in part, without special permission. The use of articles or quotations from SOCIAL JUSTICE for digest, advertising, promotions and stock-selling schemes is never authorized.

Vol. 6 September 9, 1940 No. 11

SUBSCRIPTION COUPON

Date_____

Name _____

Address_____ City_____

State_____ Subscription rate $3 a year. Renewal ☐ New ☐

SOCIAL JUSTICE PUBLISHING CO., Royal Oak, Michigan

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Comment

Willkie and the Jews

WENDELL WILLKIE'S seven-league boots stepped into a mess when the wearer thereof held a press conference at the Commodore Hotel, New York, on August 26th.

In plainer language, he stirred up the Semitic question which, according to many persons, was becoming dormant.

Asked by two Jewish reporters to comment on an announcement in SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazine, wherein the Republican candidate was deemed more able to function as President than was Mr. Roosevelt, Mr. Willkie momentarily hedged.

The next day, he "if-ed" himself into the middle of things.

No, he did not want Father Coughlin's support *"if"* the said Father Coughlin was anti-racial, anti-religious — meaning anti-Jew.

No, he did not want to become President of the United States *"if"* it were necessary to arrive at that office through the medium of anti-Semitism.

The newspaper reports buried the *"if"* under headlines which read: *"Willkie Shuns Coughlin's Aid."*

The Hoosier Sky-Rocket (electrically wired) may be a better lawyer than Franklin Roosevelt, a better business man than Franklin Roosevelt and a better industrialist than Franklin Roosevelt. But the Smiling Squire of Hyde Park can out-distance his opponent in the field of politics even though handicapped by the lack of seven-league boots.

Why should any astute candidate deliberately antagonize Father Coughlin's many friends?

Why should he single out a private individual, who for all practical purposes, has been pushed into voluntary silence by those who control the press, the radio and other affairs?

And why hypothesize Father Coughlin's anti-Semitism or anti-racialism when there is no need to do so?

But of more importance, why should Mr. Willkie presume that Father Coughlin planned to aid him or any other candidate?

, , ,

SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazine invites Mr. Willkie to read the November 20, 1938, speech delivered by Father Coughlin, in which the Radio Priest distinguished between "good Jews and bad Jews, good Gentiles and bad Gentiles." In that speech it was clearly pointed out that Father Coughlin desired the good Jews and good Gentiles to amalgamate against both Nazi-ism and Communism, having expressed his regret that so many Jews drew criticism upon their entire race for having participated, or having been accused of participating, in the activities of Communism.

Not that the reading of this speech will do Mr. Willkie any good.

Already he has committed himself to a pro-British, pro-war, pro-conscription, pro-Jewish policy—just like his opponent, Mr. Roosevelt.

That is why SOCIAL JUSTICE said last week that the American people have no candidate; for both candidates find it difficult to be pro-American to the "nth" degree when the interests of Great Britain and certain groups of powerful Jews intervene.

Perhaps, some altruistic reporter will offer our congratulations to the Republican candidate in that Mr. Roosevelt failed to accept the Elwood challenge to debate.

Why?

Well, Mr. Willkie will learn very rapidly that while facts and mathematics are of paramount importance in business, industry and law, in politics they carry as much weight as yesterday's weather prediction!

That being so, Franklin Roosevelt would have terminated the Presidential contest after the first round of debate when Messrs. Cohen, Hopkins, Michelson and a host of other word wizards had composed a speech. After Franklin Roosevelt would have finished sifting such a composition and piecing it together, and after the people would have listened to the dulcet tones of a smiling voice, the Squire of Hyde Park would be applauded into office for a third and fourth and fifth term.

, , ,

A little advice, Mr. Willkie:

Practice up on your pronunciation and enunciation.

Despite the commendations your acceptance speech received from the press, the man on the street was not impressed with your oratory and sentimentality.

And for your information, Mr. Willkie, we have on hand a copy of the *American Hebrew* magazine, dated July 12, 1940.

That magazine was printed more than a month before your Commodore Hotel conference in which you ineptly poniarded Father Coughlin—or at least tried to do so.

Index to Comment

Willkie and the Jews: Fr. Coughlin's Answer ..... Page 3

An Open Letter to Wendell Willkie; Texas Speaks to Wendell Willkie; Jews and Advertising ..... Page 4

Sir George Paish; Join the R.A.F.; Coughlin-Bund; German Bishops ..... Page 5

Editorials: Mr. Wallace Accepts; Let Us Know the Worst; Spiritual Literacy Page 6

The Conscription Bill; Mothers' 'Death Watch'; The Mercy Ship; Bishop Noll's Prayer ..... Page 7

A Judaic War; Fall of Empire Means World Peace ..... Page 8

Foreign Policy; War Expert; Daladier for the Reds; Japan's 'New Order' ..... Page 9

Refugees vs. Indians; The Jewish 'Sentinel' and the Confessional ..... Page 12

Behind the War; Finger Bowls 'On Order'; Kuhn, Loeb & Company ..... Page 13

Press Opinion; Hemisphere Defense ..... Page 14

Our Island Ramparts; Fraud in Washington?; Drummer Boys ..... Page 15

Christian Culture; First Essential: Population ..... Page 18

Now what about the *American Hebrew* magazine of July 12th?

Well, on page 4 there is printed a lengthy article entitled *"Wendell L. Willkie,"* written by the editor of the *American Hebrew*, Joseph H. Biben.

And also on page 4 there is a picture portraying Mr. Willkie and Mr. Biben shaking hands in the sanctum of the Willkie office.

The "cutline" of this picture reads as follows:

"Wendell L. Willkie, Republican nominee for the Presidency, and Joseph H. Biben, editor and publisher of the *American Hebrew*, shaking hands after their conference at Mr. Willkie's office last Wednesday."

What transpired at this early July conference, Mr. Willkie?

What bargain did you make at this conference, Mr. Willkie?

, , ,

One more piece of advice, Mister Candidate:

Those Jewish publishers are astute. But they never know enough to hide their left hands.

Do you know what we mean?

Perhaps, after several more years in public life outside the offices of industry, you'll learn.

And, perhaps, it will be too late!

You'll learn to keep your seven-league boots out of the Semitic mess, in which politicians bog down in every country in the world.

They will not escape in America.

It seems to be an old law founded in the grass-roots of human nature that *they who assail the Jews suffer; and they who protect them suffer more.*

It's just one of those things, Mr. Willkie, which baffles analysis.

Meanwhile, you'll learn.

You'll even learn the identity of SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazine.

You'll even learn who wrote the article referred to.

You'll even learn how to conduct a press conference.

Meanwhile, better luck to you.

Don't grow weary straddling fences.

And don't forget to stick by the old definition of pro-American even though you have to be a Stephen Decatur.

We hope you know what we mean.

And if you forget these trite admonitions, SOCIAL JUSTICE hopes that your job, from which you resigned, will be open for you next January.

SOCIAL JUSTICE holds no animosity towards Wendell Willkie.

If we wish him luck, we do so not for his sake but for the sake of the American people.

, , ,

Fr. Coughlin's Answer

SOCIAL JUSTICE has asked Father Coughlin to make an answer to Wendell Willkie's notorious "if" statement.

The Radio Priest intimated that Mr. Willkie should first be given an opportunity either to retract, amend or clarify what he said.

When and if Father Coughlin writes such a reply it will be printed in SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazine.

As mentioned elsewhere, we know the identity of those who influenced Mr. Willkie to commit the mistake—at least many persons will consider it was a fateful mistake to make at the outset of a political campaign.

The incident raises a question whether the Jewish journalists who pressured Wendell Willkie to stab Father Coughlin did so to help Willkie, or kill him off to help their good friend, Candidate Roosevelt.

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Comment

An Open Letter to Wendell Willkie

Mr. Wendell L. Willkie
Rushville, Indiana

Dear Mr. Willkie:

In an Associated Press and United Press release of August 27 you were quoted as saying, in reply to certain questions relating to Father Charles E. Coughlin's support of your candidacy, that if Father Coughlin opposed certain persons because of their race and that if this understanding was correct "then I'm not only not interested in his support, but I don't want it and that goes not only for him but for anybody else."

Father Coughlin and his legion of supporters — in whose names this is written with their consent and approval—heartily applaud and approve of the sentiments expressed in the above mentioned release.

Father Coughlin is first of all an American subscribing wholeheartedly to the traditions and beliefs outlined by our founding fathers, who opened wide the gates to all those oppressed because of their race or religious beliefs.

He also is a priest of the Catholic Church in good standing and no priest can publish any statement without the *imprimatur* of his superiors, which would certainly be denied permission if such statements could be construed as attacks against persons because of their race or creed.

Father Coughlin's enemies have striven to the utmost to label him an "anti-Semite" and have succeeded in convincing too many loyal Americans that such is the fact. His attacks have been aimed only at unscrupulous and atheistic Jews, and Gentiles as well, who are identified with Communism.

Neither Father Coughlin nor his followers condemn you for the statements issued to the two non-Christian reporters who 'put you on the spot.'

Father Coughlin received a telegram from other newspapermen who were there and who were nauseated at the tactics employed to worm replies from you and who, although they could not express themselves publicly, apprised him of all the facts which later appeared in the press.

We understand your position at the time the statements were made, nevertheless an erroneous impression was left with the public at large that Father Coughlin is neither American nor Catholic in spirit and that his followers and supporters can be classed in the same manner.

In fairness to yourself, and in your interest, and to Father Coughlin and his many friends both in the Catholic and non-Catholic ranks, would it not be equitable, judicious and expedient that you correct by statements at a press conference the wrong impression created by your remarks?

We are certain that a man worthy of the high office you are seeking will want to act with fairness and justice to all people, but we are also convinced that in no other manner can that be accomplished in this instance.

The leading Catholic periodicals of the nation have been apprised of this communication and they, as well as Father Coughlin and his friends, will anxiously await your reply.

Yours very truly,

Alfred R. Robitaille

Chairman, Committee of Friends of Father Coughlin.

P. S. In substantiation of the statements herein made, you will find enclosed clippings from *Our Sunday Visitor,* published at Huntington, Indiana, by Bishop Noll, who gave the Benediction at your notification ceremonies at Elwood, Indiana.

* * *

The clipping from *Our Sunday Visitor* reads:

"Father Coughlin is not Anti-Semitic. No Catholic can be, for Anti-Semitism is heresy. Father Coughlin has, as he has consistently asserted, never attacked the Jews as a race. He has opposed only unscrupulous and atheistic Jews, and Gentiles as well, who are identified with Communism."

* * *

Texas Speaks to Wendell Willkie

Hon. Wendell L. Willkie
Elwood, Indiana

Dear Mr. Willkie:

It is evident that, like the late Will Rogers, "all you know is what you read in the papers," *apropos* of Father Coughlin and SOCIAL JUSTICE.

Your reported comment today is plainly influenced by the controlled press which has always been inimical to Father Coughlin.

Father Coughlin is not "anti-Semitic" and his writings and his talks prove it.

He is anti-Communist, anti-Nazi and pro-American. He is Christian and Catholic and takes his stand against anti-Christianity with the illustrious sons of his Church in this country and Europe.

You may say, "But he is radical."

Yes, so was St. Paul. So have been militant Christians since that far-past Pentecostal night when the disciples gathered behind shut doors *"for fear of the Jews."*

Yes, he is radical; so is every leader worthy of the name. Where would you expect to get, were you to pussyfoot down the middle of the road?

Incidentally you might ask yourself why religious, God-fearing Jews refuse as a group to repudiate Communism. Can it be because their race is more important to them than their principles and their ideals?

There are thousands of registered Democrats like myself eager to find a leader who can "walk with kings nor lose the common touch."

Are you that leader?

Then, can you afford to voice implied criticism even while admitting yourself to be uninformed?

Until you have informed yourself as to Father Coughlin and SOCIAL JUSTICE, do not disdain their influence.

Many intelligent people realize that SOCIAL JUSTICE is the only free expression available today. You may not agree with Father Coughlin; that is your right and he would be among the first to concede you that right, but do not label him inferentially unless you know what you are talking about. Besides, you need votes.

Your opponent in this campaign has become a stench in the nostrils of many thinking Americans because of his childish "popping off," by his Anglo-inspired propaganda and his very evident intention of jockeying us into this war.

Those of us who do not like his attitude and his methods will vote you into office in November.

Please, Mr. Willkie, refrain from making foolish press statements for us to defend and apologize for.

We do not propose to enlist in the Communists' fight against Father Coughlin, nor do we want any part in the greed-inspired war now raging in Europe.

Sincerely yours,

Blanche Roush Dansby

* * *

Jews—and Advertising

SOCIAL JUSTICE accepts no advertising.

Reason: Our editors would not be free to say what they pleased else the advertisers in SOCIAL JUSTICE would be crucified by non-Christians and Communists.

As a matter of fact, Jewish papers and periodicals not only accept advertising but pressure the advertising agents to get it.

Ford Motor Corporation, Chrysler Motor Corporation, General Motors Corporation, General Foods, General Electric, Proctor and Gamble, cigarette manufacturers including Chesterfield, Camel, Lucky Strike —all of these large corporations and others advertise in Jewish periodicals and magazines.

And hardly without exception, these Jewish publications not only attack Father Coughlin but attack Christianity, in some instances, and many Christians, in other instances.

It is also known that if a corporation advertises in a paper or periodical that is critical of the Jews, that corporation becomes the target for a barrage of discrimination.

Below is a sample of what we mean. It appeared in the *Jewish Examiner* of August 2, 1940:

"PACIFIC GREYHOUND LINE SUPPORTS FASCIST PAPER.

"The Pacific Greyhound Bus Lines and the United Brewers' Industrial Foundation are advertisers in *The Free Press,* virulent fascistic paper published in Bend, Oregon, according to *The Hour,* news-letter of the American Council Against Nazi Propaganda.

"*The Free Press,* edited and published by Syd D. and Harriet A. Pierce, carries the slogan, *'Every Pure Aryan American at His Post to Save the Country from the Enemy Within Our Gates.'*

"Frequent praise of Hitler and attacks on American democracy constitute the principal editorial content of *The Free Press, The Hour* asserts."

* * *

Perhaps it would be well for SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazine to print a review of all the Jewish periodicals which our editors are compelled to read each week.

And, perhaps, it should be taken under consideration by our readers to learn the names of the corporations which advertise in these mud-slinging Jewish publications.

If some reader cares to do so, let him send a copy of this comment to some interested corporation.

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Comment

Sir George Paish

SENATOR Burton K. Wheeler, whose veracity we dare not question, gives his solemn word that Sir George Paish, English war-monger, boasted that he *helped to get us into the last war and that he is here to get us into this war.*

Sir George says he was not quoted correctly—as most people say when they are hoist with their own petard.

We are disturbed—and rightly so—because of the Communist-Nazi propaganda current in our midst.

We are disturbed because the Communists have been coddled by Government authorities—and still are.

But we are not disturbed, it appears, when Britishers come into our midst to engage us in this world war which will not end for some years—even though a so-called "peace" will intervene within a few weeks.

Forget not that it was the British, together with the atheist Clemenceau, who forced the *Treaty of Versailles* upon the world.

Forget not that it was the British who practiced aggression upon the shores of the seven seas more, probably, than all powers put together.

Forget not that it was the British who trampled upon the Englishmen in England.

Forget not that an Englishman is not, necessarily, a "Britisher."

And what of Sir George Paish?

He is just as dangerous as an emissary from Josef Stalin.

He is just as dangerous as an emissary from Adolf Hitler—and more so, because, reportedly, he boasted of getting us into the last disastrous war and plans to get us into this one.

Sir George and his associates in London owe us plenty of money. We can well afford to spend another $100,000 in escorting him home to the British Isles—and all of his ilk.

Let Britain take warning:

America is no sounding board for the British doctrine of imperialism or for the British doctrine of gold control, any more than it is a sounding board for the Marxian doctrine of Communism or Naziism.

' ' '

Join the R.A.F.!

IN OPEN defiance of American law, which forbids agents of foreign governments to recruit Americans for war service, a network of British recruiting stations has been set up in the United States to enlist men in the various British air forces.

Known officially as the *Flight Information Service of Canada,* the organization has main headquarters in the Murray Hill and Waldorf-Astoria hotels in New York, and branch offices in Dallas, San Francisco and Los Angeles.

Americans applying at any of the *Flight Information Service* centers are hired for four different types of work.

After providing the necessary qualifications, a United States pilot may join the Royal Air Force, the Royal Canadian Air Force, fly American bombers across the ocean to Britain or ferry warplanes from assembly points in the British Isles to British air fields.

United States air men who work in the last category are given $150 a week over and above British income taxes and assessments.

At present the *Flight Information Service* is hiring about 50 Americans a week for war work.

In order to prevent those who sign up from losing their American citizenship, no oath of allegiance is required to be taken to King George VI.

Officials of the British recruiting ring slyly deny that they actually enlist men on American soil.

Instead, they protest that they just give applicants the "necessary information" and tell them where they can join up in Canada.

Prospects, however, are put through a searching examination and if they pass are sent to an assigned hotel in Canada.

Hired agents, furthermore, travel around the United States and spread word of the attractive salary offered by the British at various American aviation centers.

If this isn't recruiting, the word has lost its meaning.

Meanwhile the business has become so open that the Federal Bureau of Investigation could lock up all the ringleaders in 24 hours.

No arrests are being made, however, as the activities of the British have the quiet sanction of the war-mongering administration *clique* in Washington.

' ' '

Coughlin-Bund

RECENTLY the *Chicago Daily News* headlined a statement which said *"Coughlin groups linked to Bund in U.S. inquiry."*

It seems that a gentleman named William Wernecke, secretary of the Chicago chapter of the Bund, was summoned by the F.B.I. to answer questions relative to his Nazi activities in the United States.

Britishers, by the way, are never summoned by the F.B.I. to answer such questions.

Shamefacedly this Government is pro-British and anti-German although the former have waged several wars against us, repudiated debts owed to us, and was recipient of benefactions through the agency of the Stabilization Fund.

The Germans, on the other hand, never waged a war against us nor have they been the recipient, under the Roosevelt regime, of any benefactions.

And, incidentally, too, British propagandists operating here do so without much official opposition.

Aside from all that, if this Mr. Wernecke is among others who are trying to Nazify America, he should be apprehended just the same as Sir George Paish should be questioned if he tries to "Britishize" us.

It seems that among thousands of visitors to the Shrine annually, Mr. Wernecke once had the good fortune of meeting Father Coughlin.

Insofar as the United States Government is trying to link the Radio Priest with Naziism or with Communism, these agents are stultifying themselves just as surely as they did so previously in apprehending 17 Brooklyn youths, some of whom belonged to the Christian Front. These youths they accused of endeavoring to sabotage the United States of America.

When the trial was concluded, not one was convicted.

' ' '

Now that Father Coughlin, a private citizen and a priest confined to the precincts of a small parish, is once more seeking radio outlets to resume his broadcasting, Jewish periodicals and Government agencies are resuming their attack against him.

Of course, one must not "make a martyr" of Father Coughlin because martyrs grow in power and prestige.

But one may try to dress the Radio Priest in the rags of a scoundrel, or, better still, one may try to muzzle the Radio Priest by fulminating direct threats at him.

Father Coughlin's record is clear—a record of which he is not ashamed.

Publicly, and nationally, he has opposed Naziism as well as Communism.

That is more than both Jews and some Government officials say of themselves, insofar as no official condemnation of Communism has been forthcoming from either, if we may arrive at that conclusion by basing it upon the premises of their continued support and recognition of the Moscow government.

It is interesting then to note that the stock of the *Chicago Daily News* has been bought into more or less extensively by the Kuhn, Loeb banking house.

And it is also interesting to note that its erstwhile head man, Col. Frank Knox, now occupies a cabinet post in the Roosevelt administration.

Thus the story runs.

A whole race of people is violently opposed to one humble parish priest and a powerful Government is disturbed lest the single voice of this priest may again be raised in telling what he considers to be the truth.

' ' '

Nevertheless, this racial group is so powerful that they have succeeded in gaining control of both candidates for the presidential office.

It is regrettable, as we said last week, that the American people have no candidate.

It is doubly regrettable that Wendell Willkie has "torn his political trousers" in timing his blast against Father Coughlin to co-ordinate with the *Chicago Daily News* article, and others.

P.S.: The odds in favor of the election for Mr. Roosevelt now stands at 9-5.

Bookmakers usually know what they are talking about.

' ' '

German Bishops

APPEARING in the city edition of *Detroit Free Press*—but lifted bodily from the final edition of the same paper—was the following news item of international importance bearing a Berlin date-mark and an A.P. authorship:

"Nazis Declare Bishops Pledge Loyalty to Hitler

"BERLIN, Aug. 27—(A.P.)—A solemn pledge of loyalty to Adolf Hitler by the German Catholic Bishops conference at Fulda is to be read to the faithful from pulpits at the end of the war, D.N.B. official news agency, said today.

"The pledge to Hitler is contained in expressions of gratitude to German troops adopted by the conference which ended Aug 22, D.N.B. said."

Whether or not the D.N.B. report is founded on reliable fact is not known.

This much is known, however: The majority of Catholics in Europe are resident in so-called Totalitarian States.

In fact, the Holy Father and the Catholic hierarchy cannot afford to be critical of the totalitarians and silent towards the so-called democracies, where a comparatively few Catholics reside.

In the future there will be no more Cardinals calling Adolf Hitler a "one-armed paperhanger—and a poor one at that."

For a paperhanger, he has made the democratic soldiers and diplomats look rather foolish.

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

NATIONAL WEEKLY

Social Justice

Founded 1936 by Father Coughlin

Published by The Social Justice Publishing Co.

Mr. Wallace Accepts

HENRY A. WALLACE, President Roosevelt's running mate, rose to the heights of sophistry in his acceptance speech at Des Moines.

Because Wendell L. Willkie has denounced Candidate Roosevelt's provocative statements and war mongering tactics, Mr. Wallace branded the Republican Party the "appeasement party."

He went further.

He intimated that all who oppose the re-election of Roosevelt for a third term are "fifth columnists!"

"I want to emphasize," said Wallace, "that replacement of Roosevelt, even if it were by the most patriotic leadership that could be found, would cause Hitler to rejoice."

Probably it would. Hitler undoubtedly is aware that Mr. Roosevelt aims to destroy the German nation—by war if necessary.

Certainly, Hitler wants Roosevelt to be defeated. But so does the rest of the world, including several million Americans who would rather have a leadership bent on restoring and maintaining peace than preparing for a destructive war.

In effect what Wallace said was this:

"Mr. Roosevelt wants war with Germany. All who do not want war are fifth column Nazi agents. Vote for Roosevelt and war."

Of course, Mr. Wallace was not quite so plain spoken. His alternative to conflict with Hitler was "business appeasement."

But he said "those who stand for business appeasement with Germany are the backbone, even though unwittingly, of the most dangerous of all Fifth Columns."

Candidate Wallace promised, or ought we to say warned, that the New Deal would not surrender our economic system.

That's an "inspiring" statement, too. The New Deal will not halt in its pursuit of scarcity amidst plenty; it will continue an outmoded money system, by which international bankers control the wealth and money of this great nation.

That, you know, is in direct contravention of the *Constitution of the United States.*

Candidate Wallace promised that the New Deal will continue to borrow billions of dollars from private banks, paying a billion and more each year in interest, and send the bill to the American taxpayers.

Hitler, Mr. Wallace said, met Germany's problems with dictatorship; but Mr. Roosevelt met them by democratic means.

We'll say he "met" them; but what did he do about it when they "met"?

What "democratic means" did he employ?

He tried to pack the Supreme Court.

He attempted to "reorganize" the Government along dictatorship lines.

He established a secret Stabilization Fund, controlled completely by his Treasury Secretary.

He kicked employers around, intimidated independent labor, and encouraged Marxism through the notorious N.L.R.B.

He subjugated the American farmer to the whims of Mr. Wallace's A.A.A.

He sent war aid to England and France without the consent of Congress.

He made secret commitments to Great Britain without consulting the American people.

He has taken every step toward war and dictatorship when the American people are outspokenly opposed to both.

If that record is "democracy," Thomas Jefferson was a "Nazi."

Candidate Wallace, it may be noted, did not mention his hog-killing orgy, his destruction of crops to produce scarcity while millions in this land were hungry, or his theory of how-to-have-more-by-producing-less.

That, too, was "enlightened democracy."

Let Us Know the Worst

WHAT IS ALL this mysterious "information" the politicians in Government office claim to have access to, that the people of America cannot be trusted with?

It seems to us that the conservative *Wall Street Journal* takes a sensible reaction to the hysteria aroused by the Bullitt speech, with its demand to know what special "inside information" Mr. Bullitt has access to that we, the people, do not.

This is somewhat reminiscent of President Hoover's mystery speech of several years ago. The President said, in effect, that the politicians in Government office—having access to important things that the people could not know or be trusted with—had met and conquered a mighty crisis while the heedless nation slept.

Later, of course, it was disclosed that the "mighty crisis" was the loan of Government millions, in secret, to a tottering Chicago bank.

Now, we are being told that the unpopular steps towards dictatorship—conscription, and the like—being taken by the New Deal administration, are based upon vital information that these Government officials have some special access to.

And, for some unexplained reason, we must not be told.

Future history may well reveal *why* these mighty secrets could not be disclosed to the American people.

"It is unfortunate," observes the *Wall Street Journal,* "that the discussion of our foreign policies by public officials should so frequently take a bitterly partisan course.

"It is a partisanship that has nothing to do with party lines but which apparently springs from entirely sincere emotions by individuals with real concern for the future of our country. But the muddled manner in which the issues are discussed confuses the public and endangers the defense program itself.

"Ambassador Bullitt's Philadelphia address need not have aroused so much ire. . . . Instead, it might be more productive to have a Congressional inquiry into issues that are raised as a result of portions of that address.

"Mr. Bullitt said: 'It is my conviction, drawn from my own experience *and from the information in the hands of our Government in Washington,* that the United States is in as great peril today as was France a year ago. And I believe that unless we act now, decisively, to meet the threat we shall be too late.'

"That is a remarkable statement. The public has been well apprised of the internal dissension in France that contributed so much to her collapse, and what details were missing Mr. Bullitt himself has supplied. But *what information is in the hands of our Government in Washington that we do not know about?*

"Is it factual material or supposition based on Germany's recent deeds? If there are essential facts unknown to the public, Congress should know about them.

"And why is 'our defense against the enemies who are already within our country,' in the words of Ambassador Bullitt, still unorganized? The Administration has powers to deal with enemies within our country. Why hasn't it organized that defense?"

Spiritual Literacy

THE TWENTIETH century is the most literate in history. More people can read and write and figure than at any time since the adoption of the alphabet or numerals.

A century ago the belief that rising literacy would wash away the evils of the world was a dogma widely accepted by educators, but as the *Catholic News* of New York City points out, *"It is not the illiterate nations which make a shambles of civilization."*

"Education can produce men of God giving their lives for the welfare of their fellowmen, or men who will not hesitate to massacre thousands for their own self-seeking, selfish purposes." the editorial continues.

"The fundamental difficulty in the world today is the ignoring of the two great commandments: the love of God, and the love of one's neighbor as the child of God.

"There is only one way in which the practice of these commandments can be made dominant in the world; and that is through religious education."

And, if we may add it—*spiritual leadership!*

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Comment

The Conscription Bill

BY A DECISIVE majority the Senate passed a modified form of the *Burke-Wadsworth bill.*

At this writing members of the House of Representatives have surpassed their colleagues in the Senate in their demands relative to the age limit fixed by the Senators.

The Senate stood for a 31-year-age limit.

The House of Representatives wants a 45-year-age limit.

And all this in peace time!

All this to prepare to make war against Germany, which nation as yet has committed no hostile act against the United States!

All this in fear lest Germany invade America when the Nazis, 3,000 miles distant, are finding difficulty in spanning the 22 miles separating Calais from Dover!

Honesty compels us to affirm that the United States is launching upon a program of assembling the man-power, the money power and the factory power of this nation, together with all its raw materials, to fight an economic war in order to sustain an international system of finance.

' ' '

Several years ago Father Coughlin disclosed to this nation his convictions that the present Administration was driving towards dictatorship.

But the nation believed neither him nor others who entertained the same fears.

Now the veil has been removed.

Republican America, democratic America, has taken an inevitable step in the direction of dictatorship.

Thus, the sons of American parents—at least 900,000 per year—will be regimented in military sackcloth.

Some will be taught the mechanical arts.

Some will be taught the military and naval arts.

All will be taught the stern necessity of obeying the rule of one man under non-jury, non-judicial penalties.

' ' '

The *Burke-Wadsworth bill* does not contain the complete provisions originally advertised.

Nevertheless. like many other New Deal bills, it scintillates with promises, two of which are worth while noting at this juncture:

The conscriptees are promised repossession of their jobs when dismissed from military service.

That is an empty promise and will prove to be so for almost 50 per cent of the victims of the war-mongers.

The conscriptees are promised that they will not see service outside the Western Hemisphere.

That is another empty promise which will prove to be so when the drums of battle summon our boys to wage war against an outraged Europe and its victor.

There have been other New Deal promises beginning with the 1932 platform and ending with the *Neutrality Bill*, most of which have been shattered.

' ' '

Incorporated in the *Burke-Wadsworth bill* is a section which deals with the confiscation of industry.

It is true that the war lords of yesteryear profited outrageously at the expense of the corpses which fertilized the fields of France "to make the world safe for democracy," as was advertised, when we knew that it was being made safe for international plutocracy.

' ' '

Instead of being satisfied to correct that abuse in times of peace and of war, the supporters of the *Burke-Wadsworth bill* have made it the law of this nation that any industry which does not co-operate with the national defense officials, is liable to confiscation.

And what court shall define the word "co-operate?" Needless to say, it will be a New Deal military court.

Therein, then, is the first thin edge of the wedge intended to disrupt all private property; for it will end up by Nazifying America, or Communizing America—whatever you please to term it.

' ' '

The *Burke-Wadsworth bill* is law.

It is a law which solves the problem of unemployment.

It is a law which ends the debate—at least until November 5th—on the status of America.

It is a law which, if permitted to remain upon our statute books, perpetuates rubber-stampism in Congress.

It is a law which, to those who interpret the word "industry" and include within its interpretation journalism, publishing and broadcasting, ends free speech and free press and introduces in their stead a fanatical censorship paralleling that of the OGPU and the Gestapo.

What a pity it is that Wendell Willkie, the white hope of America, has fallen foul of the yellow hands of Orientals who control, to a great degree, the press, the radio and the motion picture industry.

The mothers of America, together with the thoughtful fathers, may have an opportunity to retaliate upon the Senators and members of the House of Representatives who have voted their sons to become the hewers of wood and the drawers of water for an international clique which, in its hatred, is prepared to sacrifice the Gentile world for the retention of its control.

Is that statement anti-racial? Construe it how you will.

Not one organization of that *clique* in all America has come out boldly against conscription and bravely for peace.

Their silence in this regard has unveiled the hidden hand.

Until the last, SOCIAL JUSTICE stands opposed to a peace-time conscription bill, particularly when voluntary enlistment has proven a success.

After seven years of experimentation one would think that the New Deal Government could have found a better solution for its problem of unemployment.

As in the beginning, is now and ever shall be, the New Deal will prate of its humanitarianism, of its love for the poor and exploited, but will continue bending its every effort to preserve the gold standard and to protect the personages who control it.

' '

Mothers' 'Death Watch'

A GROUP of highly respectable mothers kept a "death watch" in the lobby of the United States Senate during the debate on the *Burke-Wadsworth bill.*

But they were not in the Senate lobby all the time. Outside, on Capitol Hill, they met and sang.

They sang *"We'll Hang Claude Pepper to a Sour Apple Tree."*

And they acted. They hanged Claude Pepper in effigy—an effigy later on captured by the Washington police force and given decent internment in one of the local "hoose-gows."

Claude Pepper is sometimes known as "the Senator from Great Britain."

He stood for intervention.

He stood for sending the American youth to fight on European soil.

The mothers and their "death watch" are to be congratulated for the dignified manner in which they exhibited their dramatics.

They did not want their sons to become cannon fodder for the internationalists.

So far, they have won their battle.

And Mrs. Claude Pepper, returning from a cruise on the new flag-ship *America*, heard that her husband was called "Claude Benedict Arnold Pepper"; heard that he was hanged in effigy.

She exclaimed: "Oh my! This is terrible! I'd better hurry home."

Calmly she said: "My husband is right. He must be right. You don't stand up and take punishment unless you're right."

Mrs. Claude Pepper has something in these words whether or not her husband is right.

' ' '

The Mercy Ship

CAPTAIN TORNING of the *American Legion*—mercy ship—arrived safely with his human cargo of refugees.

He said, describing his trip, that he would have preferred to take the shorter and obviously less dangerous northern route, but had obeyed State Department orders without question because he "assumed they knew something I didn't know."

He said that he had no idea why he had been ordered by the State Department to steer the ship between Cape Wrath and North Rona Island, which the Germans had described as their most heavily mined area.

If that area was the most densely mined area, why in the name of peace did our State Department order the *American Legion* to proceed through its waters?

And if the *American Legion* escaped the mines, whom must its captain and human cargo thank?

It's an alarming affair when such precious children and important personages as were on board the *American Legion* are used as international guinea pigs and war-mongering bait.

' ' '

Bishop Noll's Prayer

IN THE discontinuance of the broadcast portion of the Willkie notification ceremonies at Elwood, Indiana, much of the nation missed the benediction offered by Bishop John Francis Noll of Fort Wayne.

Bishop Noll said:

"I should like to make just one brief observation before imploring the Almighty to bestow His benediction upon this assembly and upon the great cause which it symbolizes.

"If it be universally admitted that the Heavenly Father has seemingly, for more than a decade, withheld His blessing from national groups of His children on earth, why do those to whom their human welfare is entrusted, not strive more seriously to discover the reason?

"His Divine Son, in words which will be read tomorrow from the pulpit of hundreds of thousands of churches attended by more than 500-million Christian people, enunciated the unchangeable principle which influences heaven's action when He declared:

" *'Seek ye first the Kingdom of God and His justice and all these things*—namely, the good things of earth—*'will be added unto you.'*

"Therefore, is it not relevant to this occasion to ask all God's children to match by good conduct their prayers for the restoration of peace, prosperity, liberty and happiness to all lands?"

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Comment

A Judaic War?

BELOW we print a letter from an eminent American scholar whose name, for the moment, we are withholding.

It is more than a letter.

It is an essay which will provoke considerable comment.

Having read it, perhaps further comment will be forthcoming.

But bear this point in mind: As yet official Jewry has not condemned Communism although it has steamed up the world against Naziism and Fascism.

Does it mean that the definition of Americanism must be so comprehensive as to embrace Communism, pro-Semitism and nothing more than a toleration of Christianity?

The letter reads as follows:

Editor of SOCIAL JUSTICE
Royal Oak, Michigan
DEAR SIR:

The capital issue about the present infernal agitation to drag this country into the European conflict, so far, seems to have been missed even by SOCIAL JUSTICE. So much the less one could hope to find even the remotest reference to it in any other publications appearing in this country, as their main intent and purpose is that of befuddling the American people and keep actual facts very, very carefully from them.

The capital issue about this gigantic plot which has no parallel in the history of the whole world is not so much Great Britain or the British Empire, but *the national reconstruction of Judea in Palestine and the building up of a Jewish empire in the Mediterranean, with the destruction not only of Italy and Spain as independent nations, but also of the center of Christianity!*

The first blow dealt against poor Spain was the provocation of the war with the United States in 1898. Anybody who is old enough in this country will remember very well the exact similarity of the vicious agitation staged in those days against Spain with that staged at present against Italy; and in the face of what is going on now, whoever is endowed with a spark of intelligence may draw his own conclusions as to the real identity of those who blew up the *U.S.S. Maine* in the Havana harbor in order to create a *"casus belli."*

Also, anybody who is old enough well remembers what a tremendous artificial agitation was stirred up in this country when, in 1911, Italy occupied the African region of Libya, and how on the basis of past performances such an agitation clamored far and loud for an American intervention, as though Libya had been a part of the United States. The Libyan territory had evidently been reckoned upon as a province of the projected Jewish empire in the Mediterranean, and its occupation by Italy was the first bitter blow to such a scheme.

Ever since that time the daily, relentless, fiendish campaign of accusations, denunciations, and insinuations to create an atmosphere of hostility against Italy and the Italian people in this country has known no boundaries. It would, indeed, fill volumes and volumes and volumes.

* * *

Among many other machinations, of particular note and interest it would be to remind ourselves of the very grave incident created between Wilson and representatives of the Italian government at the so-called peace conference of Versailles, the purpose of which was to incense public opinion against Italy in this country and thus provoke a war!

Then, ever since Fascism came into existence as a direct consequence of such an incident, the Judaic campaign against Italy in this country has increased more and more in violence and virulence. It exploded with very loud violence on the occasion of the temporary occupation by Italy of the Greek island of Corfu.

It exploded once again with extreme violence on the occasion of the Italian conquest of Ethiopia, making the people of the United States feel as though Ethiopia had been a part of this country: and it raved and yelled and screamed to such an extent as to cause "delirium tremens" even in public officials.

Evidently the Ethiopian territory was another plum designated as a province of the projected Jewish empire, and its occupation by Italy was another very severe blow.

Soon afterwards Judaic explosion increased with still more Satanic rage and violence when Italian intervention saved the Spanish nation from the death blow of Communism. American intervention was again and again clamored for with all sorts of nefarious representations and misrepresentations. The bloody tragedy of poor Spain is too well known to be it necessary to say any more.

Still more, Judaic explosion went altogether wild upon learning of the annexation of Albania by Italy; and has now reached its climax of fierce, aggressive hostility since Italy entered the European conflict.

* * *

But the Jew has now finally found the champion of his cause, his long looked for "Messiah" who with all heart and soul will be too glad to spill floods of American blood and money, but not his own, to clear the Mediterranean of those hated Latins, and thus settle once for all the feud that started there more than two thousand years ago between Latins and Semites; avenge the destruction of Carthage and Jerusalem; wipe out the center of Christian religion; and convert the entire Mediterranean basin into a center of world-wide Judaic imperialism and religion.

This, in short, is the sinister scheme which stands behind the warlike clamor going on at present in this country, particularly against Italy, under all sorts of farcial representations, through the press, the radio, the movies, the pulpit, mass meetings, soapbox oratory, street demonstrations; and last but not least, through repeated, direct insults and provocations, if not altogether overt acts of war, on the part of public officials, with the evident hope of provoking a reaction from the Italian government, and thus find a pretext to inflame the already overwrought public opinion and declare war!

One particular example of direct provocation was the dispatching of an entire squadron of American Flying Fortresses to intercept the Italian liner *Rex* on the high seas; and the premeditated event was so timed that to make it more ominous the news of it would appear in the papers on *Friday the 13th,* May, 1938. The *New York Times,* as you can see from its clipping enclosed herewith, went even so far as to publish alongside of such news not only a picture of the said entire squadron, but also that of a huge pile of bombs! The threat and provocation emanating from Judaic sources could not have been more evident and more eloquent!

Now it is about high time to ask: Was it written in the *Constitution of the United States* that this country should one day become a thug and a murderer at the service of the Jew, and wipe out of existence those very nations and religion who were instrumental of the discovery of this continent, and thus made it possible for this nation to be born?

Was it written in the *Constitution of the United States* that the American youth should one day be herded like lambs to the slaughter house, to be sacrificed on the altar of Judas' imperialism?

Is there no soul strong enough in this country to open the eyes of all the American people and make them stand up like one man to protest against this impending appalling tragedy?

* * *

Fall of Empire Means World Peace

"The downfall of the British Empire seems imminent," says the *San Francisco Leader* whose able editor adds: "And with it there is promise of an end to a consistent threat to the peace of the world in Europe, Asia and Africa.

"Yes, and in America," the paper significantly adds.

"It was through British financial influence in the United States and the literary snobbery of a President with an English mother that this country became involved in the World War. And now, through an appeal to the social snobbery of another President, and a vastly increased financial influence, largely due to the gold purchase policy of the Administration, our peace is again threatened.

"No other nation has ever even tried to interfere in our governmental affairs. With the British Empire disposed of, we may again be permitted to attend to our domestic troubles, of which, needless to mention, there are plenty."

The same periodical, in a later edition, observes:

"According to Belloc, who is English of the English, England is not a democracy—a fact of which we were well aware before Belloc proclaimed it, but to Belloc we are indebted for a very precise allocation of the shares of power.

"The politicians, that is to say cabinet and parliament, have about 7 per cent, the press has a trifle more, but the financiers have about 85 per cent of the power. They are the real rulers of Britain, the body, boots and britches, brains and boodle of the Empire.

"We know what they think of us, how they love and esteem us, how they regard the 'community of interest,' how they appraise the 'blood-is-thicker-than-water' sentiment.

"The callous, cold-blooded manner in which they welched on the war-debt and then added insult to injury by nicknaming our nation 'Uncle Shylock' informs us how the English ruling classes, Brains and Boodle, love us. And their schemes to destroy our Navy show their deep-seated distrust of us, a distrust undoubtedly born of innate hostility."

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Comment

Foreign Policy

THE *Saturday Evening Post* is of the opinion that our foreign policy is "ad lib."

The editor of the *Post*, together with many other editors, are laboring under the opinion that we change our foreign policy day by day.

Back of all this seeming change is the fact that we are tied up with Great Britain, fluctuating with Great Britain's reverses or successes.

In a word, our foreign policy is Great Britain's foreign policy.

Be it noted that while we put on a drive against Communists and "fifth columnists" at home, we act most friendly towards the heart of Communism at Moscow.

We are against Totalitarianism, so we advertise, but we are not against Stalinism.

And all this is smoke-screen; for the fact is that, incorporated in our foreign policy, are the elements of international gold-mongering and anti-Naziism.

SOCIAL JUSTICE does not see why our Government should be reluctant to state this matter plainly.

There is no virtue in hiding it.

We also suspect that our Government coddles with Moscow Communists in the hope that Stalin will turn on Hitler.

As oftentimes cited in these pages, our best foreign policy is a strong domestic policy.

Strengthen the sinews and muscles of Americanism by creating more wealth, by creating more private propertyship, by paying annual living wages to labor and by playing no favorites of race, religion or locality.

This being done, all the hordes of Asia and Europe combined could not overthrow America!

Long ago, Father Coughlin suggested building a powerful air force to protect both our West and East coasts against the possibility of foreign invasion.

Weapons of defense, not weapons of offense, are needed.

At that time, it was evident that old-fashioned armies, and old-fashioned battleships, were no match against the new-fashioned air forces—air forces which will develop tremendously within the next five years, thanks to our research in the fields of chemistry as well as in the fields of physics.

Five years hence TNT and nitroglycerine, most likely, will be regarded as rather innocuous chemics of destruction compared to the new chemicals which will be compounded into bombs able to penetrate and destroy the decks of the strongest battleships.

Let our foreign policy, therefore, begin with our domestic policy.

It is observed that those nations of yesterday which stressed foreign policy and neglected domestic policy came to grief.

War Expert

ON ITS STAFF, the *New York Times* maintains as military expert, Mr. Hanson W. Baldwin.

Possibly this gentleman can not get printed all he would like to say in the pages of the *New York Times*.

Writing for *Harper's* magazine, he threw cold water on the likelihood of Hitler's invading America.

The German forces are finding it rather difficult to span the 22 miles separating Calais from Dover.

Even though Hitler's war planes can fly to New York and back without refueling, how could they negotiate the 3,000 miles between England and America and accomplish anything more than dropping a few bombs on the Bronx, on Boston, on Portland, even though they were able to penetrate the barrage of anti-aircraft shells?

Mr. Hanson Baldwin says:

"The maximum initial force that could be transported, even if control of the sea were wrested from us, would not be much larger than 50,000 men. The transportation of such a force would require 375,000 tons of shipping, perhaps 40 ships, about the largest force that could conveniently be convoyed in a single operation.

"To supply such a force might require from 650,000 tons of shipping to 2-million tons monthly; in other words, perhaps half of the tonnage of the German merchant marine would have to be devoted solely to the job of supplying 50,000 soldiers. If this force were to be doubled, the shipping tonnage necessary would be doubled; to supply an army of 1-million men would require at the very least 13-million tons of shipping.

"Economically and commercially the problem seems impossible; not even Britain or a combination of Britain and Germany has sufficient shipping to divert such an enormous amount of it from their ordinary and vital trade routes to military purposes."

' ' '

Daladier for the Reds

THE RECENT edition of *Ave Maria* prints the following observation:

"A letter to the *New York Sun* points out the specific charges for which some of the former French officials are to be tried. Pierre Cot when he was Air Minister during the time of the Spanish civil war sent the best French planes to aid the Spanish Reds. This charge is upheld by documents captured by the Spanish government and made available to Marshal Petain when he was French ambassador in Madrid.

"Daladier is charged with having sent the Spanish Reds one thousand French officers in 1938 and with having taken pay from a secret English fund. Reynaud did the same thing and he also looted the French treasury of gold when he fled.

"A million and a half francs in gold were seized by custom officials at Irun from three of Reynaud's secretaries.

"Germany's quick victory over France was not due to want of old-time French valor but to the presence in the French government and in the French army of too many men whose chief objective was their own enrichment, not the defense of their country."

Now that the Petain government has taken over the Red-mongering government of France, it will be of interest to learn the activities of the United States in this same, Red, international *clique*.

A day of reckoning is coming.

It will come also in the United States.

' ' '

Japan's 'New Order'

AMERICA'S foreign policies regarding the Near East are no secret, however obscure they may be in Europe.

America's policies regarding the Far East are fundamentally and directly incompatible with those of Japan.

Thus, Japan anticipates America's resistance, active or passive.

Toshio Shiratori, recently named advisor to the Foreign Minister, and his followers, demand that the Tokio Foreign Office adopt a policy which will "eliminate the possibility of American-Soviet co-operation."

The risk of an outright British-American-Soviet Russian alliance does not exist at the moment; but it may at any time, if and when Britain and America enter into a formal alliance.

For some years Soviet Russia has insisted that the price of an alliance with Great Britain would be Britain's guarantee that the United States would become a party in such an alliance.

The failure of the British to bring America into the deal caused the collapse of the Soviet-Franco-British agreement.

' ' '

Japan's Greater East-Asia policy, or "New Order" program in its latest form, involves not only expansion on the continent, which would affect Russia, but also expansion into the Pacific, which would involve American interests.

If Japan's new program will be carried out, Japan must eliminate every possibility of America and Soviet Russia joining to protect their interests in the Far East.

The antiComintern pact, which isolated and neutralized the U.S.S.R., collapsed and disappeared in the Russo-German alliance.

Japan, in her effort to "neutralize" America, always insisted, and with some truth, that her war in China affected British but not American interests.

But, attracted by the possibilities created by the collapse of France and Holland and the pre-occupation of Britain, ambitious Japan is now pushing ahead with her "New Order" into the Pacific.

' ' '

Since the American State Department has announced that the United States will not tolerate any change of sovereignty in the Dutch East Indies, Japan senses that the United States and Soviet Russia are drawing together to protect their interests.

Japan might risk offending either Soviet Russia or the United States; she cannot risk offending both.

Union of Russia and America would secure the *status quo* in the Orient; but the Japanese-Russian rivalry of centuries cannot be eliminated in temporary agreements though its effect could be neutralized.

Japan looks North and West: North where China's untapped resources and reserves beckon, and West where island colonies are changing hands with the change of fortunes of war in far-off Europe.

A non-aggression pact with Soviet Russia will permit Japan to let the China problem rest temporarily while she pushes ahead with her expansion program into the Pacific.

However, most Japanese figure that Russia will demand a "sphere of influence" in China as the price for any non-aggression pact, and that this Soviet influence will nullify all Japanese gains.

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED



This is the "Sunrise Period" in our great *"VICTORY CONTEST"* now entering its fourth week.

This is the time when subscriptions you get for SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazine will count more than in any future period.

This is the time for you to put in the work towards winning the Grand Prize of $2,500.00; the Second Prize of $1,750.00; the Third Prize of $1,200.00; or one of the other 134 handsome cash awards.

The "Sunrise Period" of this great contest will close on October 21.

Between now and that date you have a golden chance to win — and win big.

Between now and that date you can rally your friends into a solid and unbeatable group who will work for your success.

YOU CAN WIN THAT GRAND PRIZE OF $2,500.00 AS EASILY AS ANY PERSON IN THE CONTEST.

Have you entered this great contest? Are you already at work? Then — why not?

How more easily can you win a neat little fortune in CASH than by obtaining subscriptions for SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazine?

Remember, there is a commission of TEN PER CENT on all business turned in — unless you win one of the nine leading prizes. When you do that, you won't even think about commission.

But, the big point is — you can't lose in this contest. Everybody is paid for his or her work — and there is always before you the chance to win $2,500.00 in cash.

It is easy to enter this contest.

All you need do is fill out the Nomination Blank on this page, down there at the bottom to the right. That means 30,000 Complimentary Votes right at the very start.

Then you obtain your first subscription (your own or somebody else's). That means another 120,000 Complimentary Votes.

Send the Nomination Blank and your first subscription to the Contest Manager right away. You will also be credited with the regular 120,000 Votes for a First Period yearly subscription.

That all means you will leap right into the middle of the Contest with an early vote of 270,000.

From then on you work a little every day — get your friends to work for you — write to everybody you can depend upon for a subscription — and your chance to win the Grand Prize is as good as anybody's.

All bars are down in this great contest. There are no bonus votes to clutter up your mind. No club votes. Just the straight schedule for Regular Votes as shown on this page. That schedule will hold throughout the Contest. Read it carefully.

There is no distinction between "new" and "old" subscriptions. We want subscriptions from everybody, regardless of these former distinctions.

The "Sunrise Period" is passing. You must hurry. On the day your Nomination Blank reaches our offices, you will be sent all materials to work with, and given full instructions as to how to proceed. Nothing hard. Nothing but a bit of work each day — and — VICTORY.

This great *"VICTORY CONTEST"* can be a big triumph in your life ——

IF YOU START EARLY!

No Losers IN THIS CONTEST

You Get 10% Commission

There are no losers in the great "VICTORY CONTEST."

Besides enjoying the opportunity of striking for his or her share of 137 cash prizes totaling $12,000.00, every contestant who falls short of winning one of the first nine cash prizes, will be paid a commission of TEN PER CENT on all business turned in.

You can make nice money as a contestant during the next 15 weeks — and every subscription you get for SOCIAL JUSTICE brings you closer to the Grand Prize of $2,500.00.

Contestants are asked not to deduct commissions. Send the whole amount to the Contest Manager. Commission checks will be sent to all contestants at the end of each Contest period.

The thing to do is to enter the Contest this very day. Remember — by sending in your Nomination Blank and your first subscription (your own or that of somebody else) you will receive a Complimentary Starting spurt of 150,000 Votes.

Commission of TEN PER CENT is paid contestants because SOCIAL JUSTICE wants to show its appreciation of the efforts of everybody in the Contest.

Of course, you will aim at the Grand Prize. That is the correct and smart thing to do.

At the same time — keep that commission in mind.

A NEW KIND OF CONTEST

'Bonus' and 'Club' Votes Out

Contestants in our last two circulation drives complained that the system by which vote-credits were given was too complicated. There were regular votes, bonus votes and club votes.

Bonus votes were necessary in previous contests because of the distinction between "new" and "old" subscriptions (renewals). More credit was given for a new subscription than for a renewal. This credit was given in the form of bonus votes.

In our new "VICTORY CONTEST" no distinction is drawn between "new" and "old" subscriptions. Both are on an even footing as to vote-credit. A renewed subscription counts as many votes to the contestant as a new subscription. Therefore, bonus votes are unnecessary.

In previous contests "club votes" were given on the basis of money turned in. They were really superfluous, because the contestant who obtains the largest number of subscriptions usually turns in the largest sum of money in the natural order of things.

All of these useless appendages have been stripped from the "VICTORY CONTEST." There is a set and unchangeable Vote-Credit Schedule which will hold throughout the Contest. The contestant who receives the largest number of Votes, according to that schedule, will win the Grand Prize of $2,500.00. The contestant who is credited with the second-largest number of votes, according to that schedule, will be awarded the Second Prize of $1,750.00. And so on right down through the 137 Cash Prizes.

All are on an equal footing. No contestant could possibly have an advantage. The hardest and most consistent and persevering workers will win.

$20,000 WAITING

GRAND PRIZE	$2500.00
Second Prize	1750.00
Third Prize	1200.00
Fourth Prize	900.00
Fifth Prize	700.00
Sixth Prize	600.00
Seventh Prize	550.00
Eighth Prize	450.00
Ninth Prize	350.00
101 additional prizes	2000.00
27 special cash prizes	1000.00
Commissions estimated	8000.00
GRAND TOTAL	$20,000.00

YOU KNOW 20 PERSONS WHO WOULD SUB

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Help to Bring More Truth to Your Country!

Every man or women, boy or girl, who takes part in our great "VICTORY CONTEST" is contributing to the preservation of American liberty, American Christianity and American ideals.

Think the above statement over. Read it through again. Then realize that every subscription to SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazine results in one more American citizen receiving the TRUTH about our country.

Remember, Communists "never sleep" in the sense that they are ever at work on the minds of Americans, sowing pernicious doctrines.

Nazi agents are busy, trying to tend American thought away from Americanism and towards the idea of totalitarianism.

We Americans must sleep less than ever before. At all times we must be alert and engaged in the important task of offsetting these adverse and subversive tendencies.

SOCIAL JUSTICE leads in the fight for Americanism. It leads because it has no bosses. No advertiser can tell SOCIAL JUSTICE what stand to take. No politician can dictate its policies.

SOCIAL JUSTICE is four-square for Americanism, under the Constitution, and along Christian ways of life.

The purpose of the "VICTORY CONTEST" is to drive the national circulation of SOCIAL JUSTICE to the half-million mark THIS FALL.

If this is done the magazine will be read by close to THREE MILLION Americans.

Surely, you want to help this great cause—this crucial drive for MORE TRUTH.

By entering the "VICTORY CONTEST," you will be helping SOCIAL JUSTICE and America. And FORTUNE awaits you as a winner.

So, enter right now.

A Call to Old and New Contestants

Were you entered as a contestant in our two circulation contests during the last year? If so, you have had some experience. Perhaps you were a runner-up. Perhaps you did not land in the big money, but made a nice profit on your work by way of commissions.

Now, if you have this experience, you KNOW you could have won the Grand Prize or one of the other large cash awards—IF YOU HAD WORKED A LITTLE HARDER.

You could have won, if you had organized your friends. You could have won if you spent your evenings on the telephone or out nailing down subscriptions.

You now have another chance to strike for a big winning. That chance is afforded you by our "VICTORY CONTEST" just starting.

We want all old contestants to enter and try again. Nothing in this world was ever won by quitting. Enter right now and stick to the very end.

Remember the commission in this contest—10 per cent on all business one below that of the nine prize-winners. You see to it that you are a prize-winner—but, at the same time, remember the commission feature.

But, perhaps you have never taken part in a great Contest such as this? Do you know the thrill of the fight? Have you experienced the delight of winning a prize?

If you have not enjoyed these things, here is your chance. Thousands of Americans know the thrill of contest success every month. There is no feeling quite like it.

Now, before you can be a contest-winner, certain things have to be done. In this type of competition, prizes are won by work—work—and still more work.

But, there is a way to work at a contest. There is a way to win. Here's the way to proceed:

First, you enter right away. You enter early because you know you will receive the highest vote-credit for your subscriptions during the First Period of the Contest.

As soon as you have entered by returning your Nomination Blank and "Start-Off" subscription, you start to organize. The chances are you will have your particular locality all to yourself, with no competition. Fine!

It is important that you immediately ADVERTISE the fact that you are in the Contest. Tell all your friends. Get your friends to tell their friends. Induce the editor of your local paper or your school publication to run a little story about your being in the Contest. Use the telephone. Use the mails. Write to everybody you know you can depend upon for a subscription. Get them to subscribe early—during the First Period.

Remember—there will be no contestant who has a better chance to win than yourself. It all depends on how hard and consistently you "hit the ball."

RULES *of the Contest*

1. Open to any person more than 16 years of age, except employees of SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazine, their families or close relatives. The management reserves the right to reject objectionable nominations.

2. Contestants may obtain subscriptions anywhere and may be assisted by any person. Candidates must make a cash report during each of the final two weeks of the Contest.

3. Votes are not transferable from one active contestant to another active contestant. Contestants cannot withdraw in favor of other active contestants; nor can contestants give or transfer subscriptions to another contestant.

4. Votes will be issued on paid-in-advance subscriptions (new or renewal) for SOCIAL JUSTICE, including Complimentary Votes for "Start-Off" and Nomination Coupons.

5. Votes are issued subject to verification.

6. No statement, assertion or promise, either written or verbal, made by any of the solicitors, agents, contestants, or any other than published in SOCIAL JUSTICE, will be recognized by the publishers or the Contest management.

7. All Contestants are held responsible for all moneys collected. They must remit such amounts in full on schedule at frequent intervals or on demand to the Contest Department.

8. Prizes are to be delivered to winners without cost as soon as the official judges announce the results of the Contest. In case of ties duplicate prizes will be awarded.

9. The management reserves the right to place additional prizes on the list.

10. The Contest opens on August 19, 1940, and closes December 2, 1940.

11. Any questions or controversies that may arise are to be settled by the Contest Manager, and his decision will be final and conclusive.

12. In event of typographical or other error, it is understood that neither the publishers nor the Contest Management shall be held responsible, except for the necessary correction of the same.

13. By entering the Contest, a Contestant agrees to accept the decisions of the Contest Management and official judges as final.

VOTE-CREDIT SCHEDULE for ALL CONTEST PERIODS

SUBSCRIPTION	FIRST PERIOD Aug. 19—Oct. 21	SECOND PERIOD Oct. 22—Nov. 4	THIRD PERIOD Nov. 5—Nov. 18	FOURTH PERIOD Nov. 19—Dec. 2
2 yr. ($6.00)	240,000 votes	220,000 votes	210,000 votes	205,000 votes
1 yr. ($3.00)	120,000 votes	100,000 votes	90,000 votes	85,000 votes
10 mos. ($2.50)	100,000 votes	80,000 votes	70,000 votes	65,000 votes
8 mos. ($2.00)	80,000 votes	60,000 votes	50,000 votes	45,000 votes
6 mos. ($1.50)	60,000 votes	40,000 votes	30,000 votes	25,000 votes
4 mos. ($1.00)	40,000 votes	20,000 votes	10,000 votes	5,000 votes

Here's How to Start with 270,000 VOTES

"Start Off" SUBSCRIPTION COUPON

(Good for 120,000 Complimentary Votes)

Return this Coupon to the Contest Manager with your first yearly subscription payment ($3.00), either old or new, and you will receive 120,000 Complimentary Votes, in addition to the votes allowed on Vote-Credit Schedule.

Name of Subscriber _____

Address _____

City or Town _____

State _____

New ☐ Old ☐

(Your own subscription or renewal will count.)

This coupon, accompanied by the Nomination Blank and your first yearly subscription, will start you in the great Contest with 150,000 Complimentary Votes, plus the Vote-Credit on the subscription, as shown in the Vote-Credit Schedule, making a total of 270,000 Votes. Only one of these coupons will be credited to a Contestant.

NOMINATION BLANK

(Good for 30,000 Complimentary Votes)

_____, 1940

Contest Manager, SOCIAL JUSTICE,
Royal Oak, Michigan.

Please enter my name as a Contestant in the "VICTORY CONTEST." I understand this Blank filled out and sent in will entitle me to 30,000 Votes and that my first yearly subscription, old or new, will entitle me to 120,000 Complimentary Votes.

My Name is _____

My Address is _____

City or Town _____ *State* _____

(*You may nominate yourself or any other person*)

ONLY ONE OF THESE COUPONS WILL BE CREDITED TO A CONTESTANT

SCRIBE THIS WEEK . . . ASK THEM NOW!

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Comment

Refugees vs. Indians

BOTH THE American Red Cross and the United States Government are excessively concerned with European refugees, most of whom are Jews.

Neither the Red Cross nor the United States Government manifests as much concern for the American Indians who are shamelessly treated to the discredit of the American public.

The American Indian is a human being, one of God's creatures.

This land where we live was stolen from them in the name of progress and under the pretense of civilization. Nonrepentant, we herd them in concentration camps, as it were, and bestow upon them less consideration than we do upon dumb cattle.

Mr. Francis T. Maloney, United States Senator from Connecticut, incorporates a horrifying statement in the *Congressional Record* of August 5 to cover this point.

The statement, originally printed in the *Hartford (Conn.) Times*, reads as follows:

"League Head Finds Disease Rampant on Reservations

(By Clarence Dean)

" 'Indescribable misery' among the American Indians on Federal reservations was reported today by John E. Hamilton, of Hartford, president and executive director of the National American Indian Defense Association, Inc.

" 'Mr. Hamilton recently returned from a personal investigation on two Montana reservations, during which he arranged for representatives of the association to carry the investigation into other reservations.

" 'No one acquainted with the conditions that I saw with my own eyes,' Mr. Hamilton declared today, 'can doubt that the Bureau of Indian Affairs is both incapable and neglectful.

" 'I say further that the Bureau has built up a system that lends itself to corruption of all kinds—a system that makes the welfare of the Indian a matter of the least importance. All of this must be charged to the indifference of Congress and the American people.

" 'I found on the Blackfeet Reservation in Browning, Mont., and the Rocky Boy Reservation in Box Elder,' Mr. Hamilton reported, 'widespread tuberculosis and trachoma, a disease of the eyes.

" 'The majority of the Indians are starving and in immediate need of food.

" 'The taste of fruits, vegetables and milk are unknown to thousands of these Indians. Very little meat is given to them; salt pork is stale and of the cheapest quality.

" 'Some of them are fortunate enough to obtain small quantities of flour in doles from the Government agencies. It has been exposed to dust, dirt, mice, and vermin. Lard is rancid. For coffee, they are handed out the green beans.

" 'Many of them are obliged to walk miles for water, and the water they are able to carry back to their dwellings is of insufficient quantity. It is impossible for them to bathe except when it rains. In winter, they use snow for bathing and drinking.

" 'They are compelled to live in dilapidated and unsanitary dwellings which they make themselves whenever they can find cardboard; old slabs, clay, reeds, brush, and rocks.

" 'Because of the lack of nourishment, shelter, and medical attention, the Indians —from the babies to the aged—are subject to all kinds of diseases, particularly tuberculosis and trachoma.'

"Establishing headquarters on the Blackfeet Reservation, where approximately 4,000 Indians live, Mr. Hamilton reported members of the tribe walked for miles to tell him of their hardships.

" 'One old woman came to me crying,' he said. 'She had not eaten, she told me, for days. I was carrying in my pocket a box of graham crackers, and I gave her a handful. She shoved them into her mouth and ate them almost like an animal.

" 'On the Rocky Boy Reservation there are no floors in the shacks. The Indians sleep on the ground. In winter their only heat is obtained by turning over a tiny tub on the ground and building a fire underneath. This fills the tent or shack with smoke and contributes to the prevalence of trachoma.

" 'The whole administration of Indian affairs is on a hit-or-miss basis. There is no regular allotment of food. A great many of the children receive absolutely no schooling.

" 'The Indians' plight is the more serious because they have no means, even if physically able, to leave the reservation and find employment. They can obtain no money and are not free to sell their own goods, even if they have any.

" 'One Indian wrote to me, "I have about 95 acres in the oil fields and there are 2 producing wells on my place. My brother has 55 acres joining me. It was leased the other day for $200 an acre. They give me $14.34 a year for my share. My brother gets $8.25 a year for his, or 15 cents an acre. This land was allotted to us about in 1910."

" 'All the finances of the reservation are in the hands of the superintendent. He receives all moneys and buys all goods and is accountable only to the Indian Commissioner, who is accountable only to the Secretary of the Interior.

" 'To maintain this guardianship of the American Indian, the Indian Bureau maintains an organization of 10,000 employees —about 1 employee for every 25 Indians.

" 'The Bureau is wastrel, profligate beyond description. It is a bureaucracy which has grown insidiously like a cancer through its own sinister lust for power and the neglect and indifference of Congress.

" 'It has multiplied employees and expenses to a staggering total. It has woven a web of laws and rules until every step and act of helpless human beings is subject to a bureau's whims and will. It has repressed protests with an iron hand, violated the inherent constitutional rights of men whose only crime was an honest desire to help a people in bondage.

" 'It has been imbecile and inefficient in actual performance, wasting with reckless hands the property of the Indians and funds of the American taxpayers.

" 'The expenses of the Bureau have been greatly augmented during the past few years, the number of employees has been multiplied, and more than one-half of the stupendous sum taken from the Indians and from the Treasury of the United States annually has been consumed by the employees of the Bureau.

" 'The Bureau has reached the point where the Indians are almost forgotten and the Bureau is its principal excuse for being.

" 'Because Congress has the exclusive power over tribal Indians, Congress, and the American people must bear the responsibility for permitting these disgraceful conditions to continue. I am sure that most of the indifference is due, especially in the East, to ignorance.'

"The association that Mr. Hamilton heads is preparing to intensify its efforts for reform through a membership campaign in October. By this time, Mr. Hamilton expects to have still more detailed information on reservation conditions. He invites all persons interested in doing volunteer work during the campaign to communicate with the association at 22 Arnold Street (Hartford, Conn.), telephone 6-1187'."

Is all this neglect?

Or, is it hypocrisy—this bombastic concern over European refugees and this criminal neglect in the case of our fellow Americans, from whom we stole the land wherein we live?

Press Fakirs

WHEN Americans revolted against King George III, a new term was added to the British lexicon of vilification. The oppressed Colonials were blandly called "a race of convicts."

Thus it is not altogether surprising that the fabled Fakir of Ipi, who has been battling against British domination on India's Northwest frontier for the last three decades, is described in British controlled press dispatches as a "raider."

This neat little epithet popped up again last week in a dispatch in the pro-British *New York Times,* which reported new outbreaks of fighting in the Himalayas.

In 1937, the British considered this tribal "raider" so important that they sent 33,000 troops and a fleet of bomber airplanes to destroy him.

The manhunt, however, was not successful.

The raiding Fakir — he is a hero to his own countrymen — has once again taken up the struggle for liberation from Britain; a struggle which, curiously enough, has not the slightest support from professional freedom-lovers in America.

The Jewish 'Sentinel' and the Confessional

IN THE AUGUST 1, 1940, edition of the American Jewish weekly named *The Sentinel,* there is printed this innuendo whose implications are sharper than a stiletto:

"In an Eastern State an 18-year-old girl was murdered, and a youth was arrested for the crime, 'after police had been called by a local priest,' according to the newspaper report.

"Here is an interesting item involving the 'Confessional.' I recall having seen a motion picture on this very theme in which Victor McLaglen had committed a murder, for which an innocent man was to be executed. The priest finally persuaded the guilty man to confess. In the instance of the girl's slaying, the police, having received a tip from the priest, were able to secure the admission of the youth of his guilt.

"It is not clear whether the priest had received the *'Confession'* of the youth, or whether outside the *'Confessional'* he had been asked by the police whether he had any information which might be helpful. The civil authorities, I understand, respect 'the seal of the *Confessional,'* and the priest need not report any information to the police, whatever the circumstances.

"In Jewish life, there is no *'Confessional'* as an established and formal ritual, though, of course, we do have the *'Viddui.'* The relationship between the rabbi and the parishioner should be so close that the latter feels free to be in touch with his minister whenever necessary. If we were to introduce the *'Confessional,'* we would be confronted by the same dilemmas as those which face Catholic priests when guilty persons pour forth into their ears the story of their offenses."

Perhaps it is time for the unconverted Catholics to wake up.

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Behind the War

Rival Bank Groups Vie for World's Control

THIS MAGAZINE'S recent series of articles confronting public men with their former statements, at odds with their present positions on world affairs, would not be complete without reference to Lord Rothermere's praise of the German regime in 1934, and his counsel of close co-operation between the English and the German nations.

Writing in his *London Daily Mail* on December 28, 1934, this titled inheritor of the Northcliffe mantle—if not ability—is reported to have said:

"I regard Germany today (1934) as not only potentially but actually the strongest power on the Continent of Europe, for what she may lack in material equipment—and this, I believe, amounts to very little indeed—is more than made up by the superb spirit of the nation and its supreme confidence in its Leader."

And then the London editor added:

"We have no ground of quarrel with these people. Their interests, our own, and those of the entire civilized world will be best served by close and friendly co-operation between us."

* * *

What has happened to change all that is history—some of it well known, much of it yet to be disclosed. Among these untold chapters in the history of international affairs is the titanic behind-the-scenes battle of rival groups of powerful financiers—the Bankers of London and the Bankers of Germany.

Mr. Churchill, now in control of Great Britain's policies, is by the very nature of things, a puppet of the gold bankers' group.

It just may be that future history will suggest that England would have done better to heed Editor Rothermere's counsel of friendly co-operation than the "interested" counsel of the Churchill *clique*.

Be that as it may, let us have for purposes of the record, the rest of what Lord Rothermere—not unlike Lord Lothian in those days—had to say of the new Germany.

"I warn my fellow countrymen," continued the *Daily Mail* editor, "that Germany has set a new pace of human endeavor. She has acquired a national momentum without precedent in political dynamics . . .

"By what force has this land been lifted from a despondent, discouraged, disregarded condition to its old place in the front rank of the Great Powers?

"What magic has restored hope to German hearts, given to German eyes the flash of courage and self-confidence, and magnetized this mighty nation until one feels in its midst as if one were in a gigantic power-house? Hitler. That is the whole answer.

"Without Hitler, none of this would have happened. With Hitler, no limits can be set to the developments in Germany that may yet surprise the world . . .

"As I have said before, I now repeat that nearly all the news regarding the Nazi regime published even in our most responsible journals is pure moonshine. These have spread, for instance, the impression that German Jews lead an almost hunted existence. Yet in German hotels and restaurants I have frequently seen merry and festive parties of German Jews who showed no symptoms of insecurity or suffering . . .

"When once a few of the more glaring injustices imposed by the Peace Settlement have been removed, there will be no reason why Europe should not be entirely peaceful for years to come . . .

"If Germany and Britain, alienated for over 20 years, could thus come once more together, a new era of well-being would be open before both of us."

* * *

Lord Rothermere's report in London's *Daily Mail*, back in 1934, only confirmed and made vocal the less ably expressed views of many tourists in Europe over the last decade. As news reels and travelogs, and the personal experience of travelers, made the peoples of the two countries more and more familiar with each other, there began to be a realization that the plain peoples of all countries are friendly folk.

The people of Germany and the people of England were well on the way to understanding each other.

This, obviously, did not suit the purposes of the exploiters.

Once more, there was turned on the propaganda of hatred and suspicion—and division.

The Bankers of Germany and the Bankers of England moved statesmen in both their countries like so many pawns in their giant game of rivalry for control.

THAT is the untold chapter in international history that remains to be told in detail. When it is, many of the apparently inexplicable things about this sudden war, and the confusion and helplessness of unprepared nations, will be made plainer.

Americans, especially, will have to trace the ramifications of these rival groups of powerful financial titans before they can begin to understand what "the war" is all about

* * *

Kuhn. Loeb and Company

Nathan Robertson, writing in *"P.M."* under the caption of "Business," has the following to say about the Kuhn, Loeb and Company profits:

"Not for Investors: Kuhn, Loeb Profits.

"Senate Report Condemns 1915-'31 Management. Sizes Up Melon as $6,500,000."

"Washington, July 27—A Senate committee this weekend reported that the Missouri Pacific Railroad company has been a profitable enterprise for its New York bankers, Kuhn, Loeb & Co., but not for its stockholders.

"Chairman Wheeler (D., Mont.) and Senator Truman (D., Mo.), joined in a report that condemned Kuhn, Loeb management from 1915 to 1931. During that period, they said, the bankers' profits were almost $6,500,000.

"The committee's report on Kuhn. Loeb profits: as reorganization managers. $1,244,661.36; as underwriters of reorganization securities with associated bankers, $1,840,000; as continuing bankers until 1931, when J. P. Morgan & Co. stepped into the No. 1 spot as the system's bankers, $3,408,000. Associated bankers got another $6,000,000.

"Acquisition of Gulf Coast Lines control by Missouri Pacific also brought profits, the committee said. They said George H. Walker, board chairman, his banking company, W. A. Harriman & Co.; another banking company, Blair & Co., and another official got $518,680.

"On this same deal, Kuhn, Loeb & Co. received commission of $193,000. After absorption of Gulf Coast, Kuhn, Loeb became bankers for this line, underwriting $19,000,000 in bonds for a neat profit of $458,000 to themselves and associated bankers. In ten years, 1921-1930, Kuhn, Loeb and other bankers received nearly $9,500,000 for securities issuance. Missouri Pacific officers drew $6,069,000. Thus, Kuhn, Loeb and other bankers got half again as much for underwriting securities as general officers got for running the railroads.

"Mr. Walker, board chairman, represented Van Sweringen in 1935 in reorganization attempts."

An Associated Press dispatch of July 27th says:

"Kuhn, Loeb & Co., of New York, and other participating bankers . . . received a gross compensation of nearly $9,500,000 during the 10-year period from 1921 to 1930, while total salaries and expenses of all general officers of the railroad amounted to $6,069,000."

In 1904-5 Kuhn, Loeb and Company helped to finance the Russo-Japanese war in favor of the Japanese.

Preceding 1917, members of the Kuhn, Loeb and Company are reported to have had something to do with financing the Russian Revolution.

No further comment is necessary

Finger Bowls on Order

DON'T LET ANYONE tell you the Administration has bungled the national defense program.

Just because the production of airplanes, tanks, guns and other equipment has failed to reach expectations, don't think the armed forces are not wholly unequipped.

Why, only recently, according to Major Al Williams, the Navy let a contract for $250,000 worth of engraved table silverware, including 5,000 silver finger bowls.

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Press Opinion

Hemisphere Defense

THERE IS a heartening unanimity in press opinion regarding the necessity for joint Canadian and American defense of the Hemisphere.

This has nothing to do with our participation in a foreign war, to save the British Empire from the consequences of its own blundering diplomacy.

This is "our own necks," a matter of self-defense—and Americanism.

And it is truly encouraging to have the press for once discussing Americanism, based on hard sense and factuality, instead of hysterial war mongering.

At once, too, the reaction of readers everywhere will be *"now we're getting somewhere!"*

' ' '

"Nothing is clearer," says the *Detroit News*, "than the mutual and vital concern of Canada and the United States in preparing to defend themselves against possible attack by a European enemy.

"The agreement for joint defense consultation and action recognizes the acute bearing on mutual interest which the course of the war has caused."

Whether any European "enemy" ever plans to attack us or not is beside the point. This question is one of adequate defense of our nation. According to the reports of the New Deal's own military departments, this matter appears to have been sadly neglected throughout the present administration.

The Canadian plan will involve development by the United States of northern air and naval bases. Southward, arrangements of some sort must be made similarly to prepare at strategic points to defend the Panama Canal and paramount American continental interests in the southern half of the hemisphere.

' ' '

New Bonds of an Old Friendship

"Establishment of a permanent Joint Defense Board for the United States and Canada does not change the relationship between the two countries," comments the *Philadelphia Record*. "Rather, it implements in the most practical possible way the close, friendly relations that have existed for many years between the two countries . . .

"We hope that every citizen of both countries understands that the United States and Canada are bound to work together and to fight together if either nation is invaded.

"The existence of that long, vulnerable unfortified border means more than that Canada and the United States trust each other. It also means that neither will willingly permit a hostile Power to establish itself on the other side of that border . . .

"The board will concern itself with the placement of air bases, the movement of ships and even of troops. The time is past when it is enough to know that we would send help to Canada if Canada is attacked.

"What we are deciding now is just what help we will send in just what way The board will study the obstacles to efficient co-operation and seek to remove them. Such efforts may take the form of the construction of new highways, or the relocation of air bases or recommendations for a better distribution of industrial establishments necessary to defense.

"A great step toward the solidarity and the defense of this hemisphere has been taken by the establishment of the Permanent Joint Defense Board. We hope nations to the south of us can be brought into a similar hard-and-fast arrangement."

' ' '

A Matter of Our Own Preservation

"The willingness of the United States to defend Canada against any foreign invasion does not depend upon any treaty or alliance that might be drawn—nor even upon the friendship and mutual respect existing between our two peoples—but upon the "simple instinct of self-preservation," is the sound and sensible argument of the *Chicago Daily Tribune*.

"We cannot afford to allow a foreign army to land in Canada because a Canadian base would make ten times simpler the problem of attacking the United States. Canadians without doubt recognize the equal peril in which they would be placed were a foreign army to establish itself south of their unfortified border.

"Each nation is obliged to defend the other because that is its own best defense. Common sense dictates that arrangements for such defense should be made in advance, to assure efficiency and economy of force if the necessity for co-operation arises . . .

"We in the United States cannot but admire both the spirit and the material contributions with which Canada is participating as a member of the British commonwealth of nations in the war against Germany. Nor can fair minded Americans blame Canadians for wishing and urging that the agreement for North American defense be made the first step toward our participation in the war across the Atlantic. But at the same time Americans will expect Canadians to be equally fair minded in recognizing that it is the settled conviction of the great majority of our citizens that it would be a fatal error for this nation to engage in any European military adventures."

' ' '

See Defense of the *Monroe Doctrine*

"The acquisition of additional air-naval bases in the Western Hemisphere is essential for the defense of the United States," says the *Kansas City Times*. "This country needs additional bases in the West Indies to protect the vital Panama Canal. It needs the Bermuda outpost to safeguard the Atlantic seaboard. It needs bases in Newfoundland and perhaps Nova Scotia to protect it on the north.

"Certainly it is as essential that no potential enemy acquire a foothold in Newfoundland and Canada as it is that no such enemy establish itself in Mexico. Protection of these countries from European invaders is part of the century-old *Monroe Doctrine*.

"The United States refused to allow a French army to conquer Mexico in the '60s. In 1895, under President Cleveland, it insisted that Britain arbitrate a boundary dispute with Venezuela. Early in the administration of Theodore Roosevelt, Germany got into a dispute with Venezuela. In reply to this Government's inquiries it announced that it merely intended to take 'temporary' possession of a Venezuelan port. The President later wrote he was convinced that Germany intended to fortify the port 'with a view to exercising some degree of control over the future Isthmian canal and over South American affairs generally.'

"After remonstrances had failed, the President notified the German government he would send the fleet to the Venezuelan coast to see that German forces did not take possession of any territory. As a result, Germany agreed to arbitrate its differences with Venezuela.

"The *Monroe Doctrine* was set up for the protection of this country by protecting the Americas . . .

"If the United States should establish defensive bases in the Western Hemisphere, as is proposed, it must be prepared to protect them—just as it always has been ready to protect American territory from European aggression in the past.

"There would be a certain risk in maintaining this policy. There would be a far greater risk in refusing to maintain it."

' ' '

A Sensible Course If Carried Through

"Many of our grandiose schemes for security have a way of vanishing into thin air," warns the *Boston Post*, which observes:

"The decision of Canada and the United States to plot a common military defense is a good move, providing, of course, that it is followed up with a thorough preparation.

"Every military man knows that the gateway to the United States is along the St. Lawrence and then south along the Hudson Valley.

"This was the path of marauding Indians before the white man settled here.

"It was the route taken by every hostile army to invade us.

"To protect the mouth of the St. Lawrence against passage of an enemy fleet is a wise precaution on our part. To establish our own air bases in Newfoundland and other eastern points will also be a great help, if political agreement on the matter can be reached.

"We not only have a big stake in protecting ourselves on this side of the continent, but we also have a big one on the other side.

"Alaska is a vast storehouse of untapped resources which the people of this country will have to draw upon in the future.

"Russia's move in establishing a base at Big Diomede Island, between Alaska and Siberia, makes us wonder what the designs of the Soviets might be on the territory which they once owned.

"We must have the co-operation of Canada if we are going to maintain overland communications, now very sketchy, with Alaska.

"Our Aleutian Islands are important posts in the event of a conflict with Japan.

"We cannot keep them safely within our grip without the help of Canada or concessions on her part to allow us to fly over Canadian territory or to use Canadian territorial waters along the Pacific coast.

"In these days of swift aerial action and ambitious military plans, points as far distant as Hudson Bay are being scrutinized. It is pointed out that a hostile aircraft carrier or carriers could send its planes against industrial cities of the Middle West in from four to eight hours from that body of water.

"It is useless for us to plan complete protection of the Republics to the south at this point, but we can get busy in protecting our life-line to the north.

"When the joint commission is formed, all speed should be used in presenting its findings and agreements, so that co-oper-

(Continued on Page 15)

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Comment

(Continued from Page 14)

ation of the two great powers of this hemisphere will become an established fact."

' ' '

We Want Safety — Not Empire

"If the British have offered to buy 100 American destroyers by turning over to us their island colonies in this hemisphere, that would indicate more clearly than any other action that Britain considers the destroyers essential to defense against Hitler," says the *Philadelphia Record* quoted above.

"For the British Empire does not part readily with territory; the island possessions in America are among the oldest and the most cherished of British colonies, even though their economic value is small . . .

"Certainly we do not dare part with so substantial a segment of our Navy *unless it is clearly to our advantage to do so.*

"If the naval experts think that it is a real danger, then we should not send the destroyers, even if Britain gives us all the islands—and Canada and India.

"We want safety and complete national defense—not empire.

"The British can have our ships for nothing, or not at all, depending upon how our experts decide they can best be employed to protect America from attack."

Again, one notes the heartening consideration for America first; and from what, we might observe, is an unexpected quarter.

' ' '

'Monitor' Advocates Islands for Defense

The *Christian Science Monitor,* traditionally pro-British throughout this whole international confusion, says frankly:

"The United States has been woefully short of footing for naval or aerial operations on the Atlantic Coast, either of Canada or Latin America . . .

"Such outposts are needed in the South, no less than in the North.

"Puerto Rico and Guantanamo Bay form an insufficient screen for the vital Canal Zone," the *Monitor* adds, "and beyond Panama, the United States has no bases for making good the *Monroe Doctrine* . . .

"The development of air and naval bases in some or all of these British lands (islands) would double the present power of American defense in the Atlantic."

' ' '

Our Island Ramparts

"NATURE has given us a whole series of aircraft carriers in the form of these islands and promontories scattered along our coast," writes Colonel H. A. Toulmin, Jr., in *Iron Age* magazine.

Col. Toulmin was "chief of aircraft armament of the A.E.F. in France and chief of the co-ordinating staff of the Army Air Corps during the World War." He now is in command of the 329th Infantry of the 83rd Division, U.S. Army.

His article in *Iron Age* continues:

"No fleet of an invading enemy and no invading air corps would be in a position to attack the United States if it could use this chain of primary defenses as supply bases, submarine bases and airports . . .

"These bases should be fortified and held and controlled by the United States as a matter of elementary salvation. They should be equipped with a series of alternative air fields, underground airdromes, hangars, machine shops and vast storage supplies of water, food and munitions. They should be armed against attack and equipped to supply the units of the fleet, both sea and air.

"This country is now converting old destroyers into seaplane tenders in order to get supplies rapidly at great distances from home shores. These islands provide the same service but to a very greater efficiency . . .

"To build a navy adequate for the defense of the Atlantic would take from two to four years. To build this chain of defensive establishments in the islands of the Caribbean and the Gulf of Mexico territory would take scarcely more than one year . . ."

Commenting upon the article the *Pittsburgh Press* says: "Island 'aircraft carriers' is a good description. In fact, the quotes above are the most interesting and most sensible we have read in behalf of doing something, now, about acquiring those ramparts that are today under discussion as a vital factor—perhaps the most vital—in our whole defense problem."

' ' '

Fraud in Washington?

THE *Cleveland Plain Dealer* prints a story from its own Washington bureau.

It is a story told in other papers, but not so well.

We quote it for the benefit of our readers:

"It was the wildest field day for criticism of President Roosevelt and his aids since the Senate draft debate started nine days ago and culminated in a demand that Attorney General Robert H. Jackson investigate alleged violations of the criminal code by Ambassador to France William C. Bullitt.

"The wholesale onslaught, against which administration leaders put up but little defense, was directed by seven Senators after the Senate, by a vote of 54 to 23, rejected a 'draft wealth' amendment to the manpower draft bill.

"The charges were hurled at the administration before packed and unruly galleries. Specifically the conscription foes charged:

"THAT Ambassador Bullitt had violated a criminal statute forbidding use of Government funds to influence congressional votes when he urged, in his Philadelphia speech, that Americans write and wire their Senators in favor of conscription legislation and releasing United States destroyers to Great Britain. Senator Bennett Clark (D.) of Missouri, who led the attack, challenged the attorney general to investigate alleged misuse of Government funds by Bullitt and the State Department which, Clark said, had distributed his speech as an official document.

' ' '

"THAT Secretary of War Henry L. Stimson, in an effort to suppress figures showing the success of voluntary army enlistments in July, had ordered Pages 13 and 14 of the *Recruiting News,* official army monthly, torn out from 27,000 copies of the August issue. Senator Burton K. Wheeler (D.) of Montana said the pages included a congratulatory message to recruiting officers from Gen. George C. Marshall, chief of staff.

"THAT the Army and Navy were guilty of 'deception and fraud' for failing to give Congress the 'real reasons' why there has been a log jam in airplane and ship construction.

"Senator David I. Walsh (D.) of Massachusetts, naval affairs committee head, said a 'prominent and patriotic' plane manufacturer informed him sub-contractors were refusing to supply plane materials because they were reaping an 18 per cent profit from British orders and were thus not content to sell materials to plane manufacturers for United States orders that come under an 8 per cent profit limitation.

"Walsh branded this a 'sit-down strike' by the sub-contractors, and said Congress, instead of lifting the profit limitation as sought by business, should compel manufacturers to turn out orders for the defense of their own country.

"THAT the administration has hidden correct information from the public on the amount of Government war supplies which have been shipped to the British.

"Senator John A. Danaher (R.) of Connecticut, said $37-million worth of ammunition and guns had been sent to the British from United States Government stocks, according to revelations to the House of Commons.

"Senator Ernest Lundeen (F-L) of Minnesota, said 800,000 Springfield rifles and numerous machine guns had been sent to the British while national guardsmen, in current maneuvers, were forced in some cases to use 'sticks and stove-pipes.'

' ' '

"THAT the administration forced the Army transport *American Legion,* bearing 897 refugees, chiefly Americans from Europe to New York, to take a course through mine-infested waters. Senator Robert R. Reynolds (D.) of North Carolina, who made the charge, said its sinking would have plunged the nation into war 'within 24 hours.'

"THAT the Army has 'made a deliberate effort to halt recruiting' to build up public pressure for the draft. Senator Rush D. Holt (D.) of West Virginia, was author of this accusation.

"THAT with an insufficient number of planes to train pilots, Secretary of the Treasury Henry Morgenthau, Jr., uses an $85,000 coast guard plane equipped with an ice-box, to take friends on week-end trips. This was another Holt accusation.

"THAT the administration is deceiving the country as to the 'woefully inadequate state of preparedness' and that there is a 'tragic' lack of fighting planes and anti-aircraft because the army refused until lately to recognize the value of airpower.' This was charged by Senator Walsh."

Drummer Boys

A WAR DRUM, like most drums, has two sides.

No matter on which side it is struck, the effect is the same.

The left side of the war drum now sounding off in America for intervention in the European war is reserved for the international Marxists of the Trotzkyite persuasion, various Socialist groups, and the international labor radicals.

The right side belongs to the international money-lenders, the Wall Street crowd, and rich American traitors who love the United States less than the British Empire.

Two of the loudest drum beats heard recently in the New York metropolitan area came from men who are supposed to be definitely in opposition.

The August 19 issue of *Time* magazine reveals that David Dubinsky, labor leader, and J. P. Morgan, money magnate, each gave $500 to the warmad "Committee to Defend America by Aiding the Allies."

Nor is this the first time that internationalists of Right and Left have joined forces for the common destruction of mankind.

How about that American millionaire support for the Russia revolution of 1917.

P.S.: And it was not a Morgan—or a Rothschild—that time, either.

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Letters

(SOCIAL JUSTICE aims to make this page a free forum and readers' opinions are invited. Naturally, the views expressed are not always those of this magazine. We cannot guarantee that contributions sent to SOCIAL JUSTICE can be returned to the writers. Letters not signed with the writer's name and address cannot be considered, although the name will not necessarily be used. We reserve the right to publish all letters received unless contrary instruction is specifically given.)

A Point of Law

TO THE EDITOR:

In 1936, in Philadelphia, the Democratic Party, in national convention assembled, under and by "majority vote" rule, nominated Mr. Roosevelt as its candidate for President of the United States.

This was a departure from ancient democratic custom and tradition. Until the national convention of 1936, each Presidential nominee of the National Democratic Party was required, under the rules of the national convention, to receive — and, up to 1936, every such candidate DID receive — "two-thirds of the vote" of the convention.

Mr. Roosevelt's nomination in 1936 was proper and in strict accord with the rules. In 1932, the Democratic Party in national convention assembled, had repealed, altered and changed its ancient and traditional "two-thirds" rule and, in its place and stead, did provide that, beginning in the national convention of 1936, a majority vote of its national convention would determine the Democratic Party's choice of its nominee.

Question: If this "two-thirds vote" rule so bound the National Democratic convention as to need a resolution and vote of the convention to repeal, alter and change it, then is it not logical to say that, until the 1896 "no-third-term" resolution and rule of this same party is repealed, altered, or changed, the National Democratic Party could not—*and cannot now* —effectively, properly and legally nominate a candidate for the Presidency of the United States?

Mr. Roosevelt is the *de facto* candidate of the Democratic Party for President, but Mr. Roosevelt is not the *de jure* candidate of the Democratic Party for President.

The Democratic Party has no candidate for the Presidency!

Conclusion: State officials charged by law with the preparation, printing and distribution of official election ballots and tabulators upon which appears "FRANKLIN D. ROOSEVELT" or "ELECTORS FOR FRANKLIN D. ROOSEVELT" act *ultra vires* if, in advance of November 5, 1940, they cause such official acts to be done. Upon proper challenge, may not such officials be charged with misfeasance in office?

Will a writ of mandamus lie in the several states?

—A Prophet Without Honor

Jersey City, N. J.

Suggestion

TO THE EDITOR:

Being a regular reader of your publication, allow me to suggest that a reprint of the article on page 9, entitled *"Jewish Rabbi,"* (issue August 19, 1940) for distribution in thousands may prevent a recurrence of the deplorable events in Europe in this country.

Maybe that the modern Jewry has more foresight and willingness to co-operate with the Gentiles before it may be too late.

I dare say that you would be doing a Christian service for Jews and Gentiles alike, thereby to further a common understanding toward a more equitable and peaceful settlement of this eternal dispute.

—J.D.

New York City

Letter to Lindbergh

TO THE EDITOR:

The enclosed is a copy of a letter sent yesterday to Colonel Lindbergh—it might be of sufficient interest to you to publish same; if so, you may use it.

"Dear Colonel:

"Amid a barrage of condemnation, permit me to extend my sincere thanks to you for your most timely presentation of facts to the small remnant of Americans left in this 'once-upon-a-time' Republic.

"There are few enough of us left who are familiar with the treason and iniquity being daily and hourly perpetrated upon us in the name of 'Jewocracy,' and few enough of us who will lead this Christian nation back to sanity.

"When our hour of service strikes, you may be assured that we will be with you in the performance of that service to which we are pledged.

"I know that you have been shown the glorious destiny of this Republic in the years just ahead of us, and I know many of those who will work with you."

San Francisco, Calif. **—S.D.D.**

Big Load for Committee

TO THE EDITOR:

Would it be advisable for the readers of SOCIAL JUSTICE to bombard the Dies Committee and demand that British propaganda be investigated and exposed?

And while they are at it, ask Mr. Dies and his Committee, how much longer we are to stand for British insults, dictation, seizure of mails, and blockades?

In fact, how much longer must we remain a British colony, right under the nose of the Dies Committee?

Clarksburg, W. Va. **—E.S.**

A Paul Revere

TO THE EDITOR:

Ambassador Bullitt's British propaganda speech of August 18th was delivered (of all places) in Independence Square, Philadelphia.

Next we'll hear of them sponsoring the cause of British imperialism from the tomb of the Unknown Soldier.

They'll be telling us that Washington did not mean that we should beware of *all* foreign entanglements and power-politics and intrigue—only the foreign entanglements, power-politics wars and intrigue outside the British Empire.

These traitors are, directly or indirectly, in the employ of those whose sole aim is to push the American people into war to save British imperialism from the fate which Almighty God brings (sooner or later) to tyrants who keep the common man shackled in chains of misery, oppression and slavery.

The downfall of the most unjust political-financial system on the face of the earth was foretold throughout the years before this generation saw the light of day.

That so-called Americans should be exhorting the free men of this nation to sacrifice their hard-fought for all, to save the only foreign enemy this nation ever had —is a new low in "traitorism."

Are we afraid of our enemies —blind to the trap they are setting?

Are we Americans or boobs?

The time has struck for the American people to rally around the patriots who are battling the Benedict Arnolds in our midst.

If Lexington and Concord must be fought all over again — let's start the campaign at once!

Brooklyn, New York **—C.J.K.**

A Mother's Protest

TO THE EDITOR:

William C. Bullitt, a Radical husband at one time of Louis Reed, widow of the American Communist John Reed, desecrated Independence Square in Philadelphia.

He asks us American mothers to send our sons over there to fight Hitler in order to save the "Jewish gold."

Bullitt will fight Hitler from Independence Square in Philadelphia or from Columbus Circle in New York, but he is arranging things for our sons to go "over there."

Bullitt spoke of the destruction of France by Hitler, when the truth is that France was destroyed by the alien internationals within her government.

Leon Blum (whose immoral writings were printed in the Hearst papers) sent every stick of defense within France to the Red government in Spain in order to destroy that Christian country.

Blum, the Jew, and not Hitler destroyed France.

Here is one mother with sons of war age and before they raise a hand to help the money-changers she intends to do some fighting herself.

They are ready to fight if America is in danger, but America is not in danger from Hitler—but it is in danger from the Bullitt type, the William Allen White type, and hosts of others of the same ilk

—Mrs. A.C.

Brooklyn, New York

Maybe Someone Else Has a Mysterious Secret



Copyright, 1940, New York Tribune Inc.

—Cartoonist Brown in the New York Herald-Tribune

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Letters

Principles First

TO THE EDITOR:

There are certain times when all good Americans, regardless of race, color, creed or party should put good principles above party loyalty and patriotism above personalities.

In my opinion, today is one of those "certain times" for the following reasons:

1. America is in danger of being forced into a foreign war through British propaganda.

2. The New Deal administration favors helping England and will not take the advice of our first President, George Washington, as to "no foreign entanglements."

3. President Roosevelt is insulting the intelligence of all good Americans by running for a third term, thereby implying that he is the only man in America, at the present time, who can be President and trying to break a tradition set by our greatest President, George Washington.

4. President Roosevelt has not kept his pledge to "drive the money-changers from the temple" which he made in 1933.

5. There are still 9-million unemployed which is a New Deal black mark.

6. The New Deal has coddled Communism and recognized Soviet Russia.

7. Most of our present Congressmen are "rubber stamps" and put patronage and prejudices above the welfare of our country and our God-given rights.

8. The New Deal has increased our national debt billions of dollars and spent billions for defense and recovery and after seven years there is no sign of proper defense or recovery.

9. The New Deal favors compulsory conscription which is foreign to our American ideals and is an infringement upon our Constitutional rights.

I could continue with ten or more valid reasons but the above nine reasons, in my opinion, are sufficient.

—J.H.S.

Brooklyn, New York

Melting Pot May Yet Turn Out Fine Metal

TO THE EDITOR:

Will someone kindly explain to me why all the sudden interest is taken, by a certain group, in Old Glory? On all sides it suddenly makes its appearance, in the stores, theaters, on automobiles and praised over the radio.

Why was it not given the same prominence before?

Is our national emblem just like an old overcoat, to be removed only on rare occasions from mothballs, one only welcomed in the cold days of winter, the other to be exhibited only at the whim of war-mongering politicians who hypocritically bid us to follow it into battle, in the cold dark days of war?

And why has it suddenly become the Flag of just a certain *clique,* who profess such love for it but actually would like it placed second to the Union Jack?

Real patriots will love and honor and defend Old Glory long after war-mongering politicians and racketeers have done their bit to shame and disgrace it!

* * *

Why has our Melting Pot suddenly been thrown into reverse, so that only a few Mayflowerites and pro-British Tories are classified as Americans, and all the rest of us are "dirty Dagoes," "Criminal Huns," "French Turncoats," and consorters with evil?

Must everyone disagreeing with our own Dictatorial Twins be classified as Fifth Columnists?

Ah, says F.D.R.: "I'll conscript 'em into Columns, and I'll send four out of five to fight for Cousin George, and I'll take the Fifth and quarantine them behind barbed wire barricades, for Henry Wallace to plow under!"

If we don't soon wake up and roll out the ballots" we will soon be hearing Kate Smith singing *"God Help America."* Even today, the *"Star Spangled Banner"* must be sung softly, because Duff Cooper or Lord Lothian might be listening, and resent it.

* * *

Anyone who voices his alarm at such a state of affairs, whatever may be his former standing as a good and respected American, is immediately branded as a "Fifth Columnist."

Look at the abuse heaped upon Colonel Lindbergh — because he dared speak out fearlessly and truthfully to his fellow countrymen!

It might be well to remember another good American, General Billy Mitchell, who tried to arouse interest in aviation years ago, and was lambasted and shamefully taken out of circulation, but today, had we heeded his advice, we would have our own air force second to none.

Today, Charles Lindbergh, like Billy Mitchell, loves his country and his countrymen too much to remain silent when he sees us being sold down the river. *To those of us who appreciate his greatness, Colonel Lindbergh will be rated as a real American who prefers truth to fame and fortune.*

If there must be Columns in America, let us organize an American one, a Lindbergh Column, and openly advertise our membership in it, so that the Dictatorial Twins will know how many concentration camps to arrange for, and which ones of the membership must be plowed under at once.

* * *

For years your own countrymen worried, and starved, by the millions, homeless and jobless, while others of us worked and paid taxes so that politicians could wax fat, and hand on little dribbles of relief to our unemployed fellowmen. No worthwhile repair was made on our economic machine. Men grumbled, and "they" didn't care.

Today, we still have 10-million unemployed, while those millions of us who are working must pay taxes to continue Rooseveltian prosperity. Men still grumble. Now "they" wish to militarize these same men, teaching them to handle guns, bombs and mechanized units, teaching them how to face death without fear, etc.

But do not forget that we have $50-billion in debt, and rising rapidly is a debt structure to liquidate which we will have to sweat blood out of these same men whom we are training to defend us.

It is my opinion that unless we pay as much attention to the remodeling of our entire economic structure as we are to militarizing our citizenry, burdensome taxation will breed revolution, and, like Frankensteen's own monster, this citizenry—no longer untrained or afraid—will turn and devour us.

This, as I see it, is of far greater importance than the slaughter of thousands of lives, in an attempt to salvage the British Empire.

Why not stick with the Melting Pot and all work as Americans, with malice toward none, for God and Country?

Katonah, N. Y. —S.R.S.

'Verily, Fiction Hath in It a Higher End Than Fact'

TO THE EDITOR:

I should like to offer the following selections from Dean Swift's two century old satirical work, *"Gulliver's Travels,"* for the perusal of SOCIAL JUSTICE readers. It seemed to me as I read the book that several of these passages were a voice of condemnation from an 18th century grave.

During his stay in *Lilliput,* Gulliver notes of the *Lilliputians* that:

"In choosing persons for all employments, they have more regard to good morals than to great abilities; for, since government is necessary to mankind, they believe that the common size of human understanding is fitted to some station or other; and that Providence never intended to make the management of public affairs a mystery to be comprehended only by a few persons of sublime genius, of which there seldom are three born in an age: but they suppose truth, justice, temperance, and the like, to be in every man's power; the practice of which virtues, assisted by experience and a good intention would qualify any man for the service of his country, except where a course of study is required.

"But they thought the want of moral virtues was so far from being supplied by a superior endowments of the mind, that employments could never be put into such dangerous hands as those of persons so qualified; and at least, that the mistakes committed by ignorance, in a virtuous disposition, would never be of such fatal consequence to the public weal, as the practice of a man whose inclinations led him to be corrupt, and who had great abilities to manage, to multiply, and defend his corruptions."

And during his stay in *Brobdingnag,* Gulliver relates of a conversation with the king that:

"He wondered to hear me talk of such chargeable and expensive wars; 'that certainly we (the English) must be a quarrelsome people or live among very bad neighbors, and that our generals must needs be richer than our kings.' He asked 'What business we had out of our own islands (the British Isles), unless the score of trade or treaty, or to defend the coasts with our fleet?'

"Above all, he was amazed to hear me talk of a mercenary standing army, in the midst of peace, and among a free people . . .

"He said he knew no reason why those, who entertain opinions prejudicial to the public should be obliged to change, or should not be obliged to conceal them. And as it was tyranny in any government to require the first, so it was weakness not to enforce the second: for a man may be allowed to keep poisons in his closet, but not to vend them about for cordials . . .

"He was perfectly astonished with the historical account I gave him of our (the British) affairs during the last century; protesting it was only a heap of conspiracies, rebellions, murders, massacres, revolutions, banishments, the very worst effects that avarice, faction, hypocrisy, perfidousness, cruelty, rage, madness, hatred, envy, lust, malice, and ambition, could produce." . . .

The king at another time stated: "By what I have gathered from your own relation, and the answers I have with much pains wringed and extorted from you, I cannot but conclude the bulk of your natives (the English) to be the most pernicious race of little odious vermin that nature ever suffered to crawl upon the surface of the earth."

The *King of Brobdingnag* also indicated that: "He confined the knowledge of governing within very narrow bounds, to common sense and reason, to justice and lenity, to the speedy determination of civil and criminal causes" . . . and he gave it for his opinion "that whoever could make two ears of corn, or two blades of grass, to grow upon a spot of ground, where only one grew before, would deserve better of mankind, and do more essential service to his country, than the whole race of politicians put together."

Dorchester, Mass. —W.Y.

Rochester Picnic

TO THE EDITOR:

The Social Justice Club of Rochester will hold its second annual picnic at Thursam's Picnic Grove off Nine Mile Point Road on Sunday afternoon, September 8th. Games and races will be part of the program. Refreshments will be served. The proceeds of the picnic will be sent to Father Coughlin for the Fall Broadcasting Fund. Rudolph Schwenzer is president of the club. Joseph Staub is general chairman and he extends an invitation to readers of SOCIAL JUSTICE in this region to attend.

RUDOLPH C. SCHWENZER
Rochester, N. Y President

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Comment

Christian Culture

THE ATTENTION of SOCIAL JUSTICE has been called to a series of articles recently written for the *Fairmont (Minn.) Sentinel* by A. B. Gilbert of Mound, Minnesota, Republican candidate for governor.

With permission, the following excerpts from the articles are reproduced for the interest of readers of this National Magazine:

"Socialist H. G. Wells spent four days at our White House in 1934; then went to Moscow for similar conferences with Stalin; and reported in his later book, in effect, that the ideas of Mr. Roosevelt and Mr. Stalin were so much the same that they should unite to bring about world socialism.

"And we might add that a Socialist like Mr. Wells is not deceived as to who is for or against his cause, just as a thoroughly honest man is seldom taken in by crooked men and schemes.

"Our Republicans think it practical to imitate New Deal politics rather than furnish a clear alternative return to government by agreement. They tell us that we must embrace all the subversion and alienism they also have absorbed so that we can defeat an allegedly greater evil. *Almost nowhere can one find a working faith that evil must be met with righteousness!*

* * *

"Our American publicity has been generally unfair and misleading as to Mussolini, Hitler and Franco. They were men of strong conviction for their respective countries—so strong that they could endure ridicule, poverty and death rather than compromise, and it is of such stuff rather than our political type that dictators of the better sort are made.

"It should also be recognized that they have, in internal affairs at least, embraced Christian culture as an ally of government.

"One of Mussolini's first major moves was the re-habilitation of Italy's 60,000 clergymen who had been shorn of influence and reduced to shabbiness by a generation of socialist liberalism.

"Franco stopped a government that was specializing on the anti-Christian line of Marxism.

"The 'persecutions' reported from Germany are over respective spheres of influence for Church and State and are not so serious for the churches as the widespread Marxism and indifference in America.

"Spanish radicals burned 22,000 churches; American indifference has closed about 10,000!

"In Russia we see a dictator vainly trying to evolve a strong nation without a Christian culture.

"I am as certain as I am of anything that even our noisiest liberals and easy-money seekers do not want to live in a world of lying, false witnessing, adultery, browbeating by gangsters.

"They really want the Christian culture and self-government but, having broken away from the reminders of formal church attendance and the sense of living by a code of conduct, they are no longer able to think. They can be pushed around by mere ideas, flattery, bribes and threats.

"Somewhere along this liberal line of descent from sound self-government to Communist breakdown there can be a mass conversion from the folly of living without a code, without Christian ethics . . .

"Communist leaders have shown a diabolical cleverness in destroying Christian culture by attacking the necessary forms for keeping it alive.

"Education of priests and ministers is forbidden as in Mexico and the school system used to implant the Marxian poison before the churches can reach the children and parents forbidden to interfere.

"Russia set up a new system of rest days (recently changed for war production) to obliterate the Christian Sunday idea and church attendance. Church property is turned into stables, store houses, pool halls, etc., to show contempt for formal worship.

"And they do succeed in pulling the souls out of people—the product is a natural man with no conscience to govern his conduct and held in line only by an arbitrary, incapable despotism.

* * *

"The great expansion of our own country was not accidental and in spite of its system of government by agreement of free, honorable men. This system is superior to all others—if the citizens can make it work.

"It allows valuable minorities in invention, science, business and religion to achieve their best.

"There is much more security in a well-kept agreement of government than there can be in the mind of any king, dictator or leader no matter how good he is.

"We have known the best; it would be so much easier to return to Christian culture than to face any possible future without it.

"This return requires a mass movement of citizens toward right, toward obeying the conscience rather than trying to be successful by cunning or political force.

"The Biblical language for this attitude, in the words of the ancient Joshua, is: *'As for me and my house we will serve the Lord.'*

"Once there is such a stirring among the people, the leaders with the capacity to save our country can appear.

"We are told of a certain community in the Holy Land where Christ did not do many mighty works 'because of their unbelief.'

"Mortal men find 'mighty works' impossible among a dishonest and dissolute people: the patriots are slain in conventions and at ballot boxes."

Imagination

PROBABLY the best explanation of how Hitler would achieve a successful invasion of the United States was offered recently in the Senate by Senator George Norris of Nebraska.

"It seems to me unreasonable to think that if England falls tomorrow, Hitler will invade us the next day," he observed. "He has not the bases to come here and fight us with airplanes. Everybody concedes that it is not practicable.

"Of course it is possible; *it is possible for God in His wisdom, if He wants to help Hitler, to raise up tomorrow another continent in the Atlantic Ocean, all prepared with flying machines and air bases for Hitler to occupy and operate from there against America,* and, if He did, we would be in a bad row of stumps; but I do not think we ought to let our imagination carry us beyond a reasonable scope."

First Essential: Population

MANY OF OUR so-called leaders like to delude this country's citizens that America consists of various abstractions indicated by such labels as "liberty," "democracy," "free speech," etc., etc.

Actually, America consists of people — flesh and blood Americans.

Without people there can be no country and no mouths to talk about liberty.

The very same leaders, moreover, who talk these abstractions are to be found in the ranks of those who support birth control, irreligion, war and the various other "sciences" which are denuding our country of its most important essential — namely, its population.

According to Professor Carle C. Zimmerman of Harvard, there are some 30-million families in present-day America.

Of these, 20-million have fewer than two children, while only 3-million families have more than four children.

Professor Zimmerman's important statement was barely noticed by the newspapers.

When such an overwhelming majority of American families bear two or fewer children, the population of this country is due for a steep drop.

France's birth rate, which declined rapidly through the post-World War years (while birth rates of Germany and Italy increased), was partially responsible for the rapid success of the recent Nazi *blitzkrieg*.

Every German soldier had to present testimony of the fact that he had two children — either within or without the bonds of wedlock. Two worker-soldiers must replace him in the Reich of the future.

Machine power, air might, and military prowess are quite useless without manpower. If there had been more *poilus* the German tanks and planes might not have rushed to such swift victory.

In spite of the desire of the American people to preserve the race and to continue the nation, we must take stock of our rapidly declining birth rate.

With the present baby crop short, and growing shorter through a pagan economy of mass murder and planned families, there are six important states— New York, California, Illinois, New Jersey, Washington and Oregon — wherein the white population fails to reproduce itself by 10 to 20 per cent; and six other states — Massachusetts, Rhode Island, Connecticut, Maryland, Florida and Missouri — where the deficit is 10 per cent or less.

Again, the fundamental economic problem of equitable distribution enters. It is not merely a matter of overabundant cereals, fruits, vegetables and meat which deter husbands and wives from becoming fathers and mothers.

They desire for their children more than nourishment; they want a comfortable home, an adequate family income, and increased educational opportunities which they did not possess as children.

Unless Americans solve this population problem, the nation will be an old folks' home by 1980 — with the citizenry on old age pensions and the youth of the nation taxed into slavery to support the old age pensions.

If the counsels of religion continue to be ignored; if birth control continues to be practiced and praised; if our economy of starvation continues to function so that parents cannot bear the financial burden of more than two children, then America is lost in another generation — no matter what European country wins this war, or how many Nazi planes swoop across the Atlantic Ocean.

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Voting Is Heavy In Readers' Poll

IN ORDER to meet the Labor Day vacation in the mechanical departments, an early closing deadline sends this edition of SOCIAL JUSTICE to press before even a preliminary survey of the presidential poll is possible.

The mail response to this readers' poll of opinion, however, is enormous. Fortunately, too, it appears to be sufficiently general as to area so that our clerical staff can compile a country-wide cross section of opinion.

Inasmuch as the clerks will have another week to work on the returns, we are repeating the report coupon. Our early tabulations will be revised from time to time as later additional votes come in.

WHO DO YOUR NEIGHBORS THINK WILL BE ELECTED PRESIDENT NEXT NOVEMBER?

In order that the poll might not be confined exclusively to SOCIAL JUSTICE readers, we are asking each of you to poll five other persons.

List the results in the coupon below and mail it to the Editor of SOCIAL JUSTICE, Royal Oak, Michigan.

We do not ask you to sign it. It does not matter who you are.

For purposes of evaluating the trend of opinion, however, we would like to have you indicate your city and state; and also your own occupation, whether farmer, city worker, professional man, mechanic, and the like.

The clerical staff of SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazine will tabulate the replies and we will publish the results from week to week.

Here is the report form:

SOCIAL JUSTICE POLL

Editor of SOCIAL JUSTICE
Royal Oak, Michigan

In my judgment the next President of the United States will be_____.

A poll of five other persons questioned by me gave the following result: Roosevelt_____; Willkie_____

My occupation is_____ I live in_____, _____
(City) *(State)*

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

NATIONAL WEEKLY

Social Justice

Founded 1936 by Father Coughlin

National Defense

What is a nation?

It is more than a conglomeration of individuals and families.

It is more than the hills and valleys, lakes and streams.

It is more than the fields and factories.

It is more than the schools and churches, the public buildings and institutions.

It is more than the theaters and dance halls, the banks and the stock markets.

National defense, of course, is a program to defend the rights and liberties of a nation.

It is a program to defend the homes and morals of a nation.

It is a program to defend the health and prosperity of a nation.

It is a program to defend the benign laws and *Constitution* of a nation.

No nation is worth while defending whose ideals have been trampled in the mud of immorality, hypocrisy, materialism, atheism and mammon worship.

* * *

When such things occur, the time has come for a vigorous protest—a revolution, if you will—to cleanse the land of those responsible for its misery, and to establish a foundation upon which can rise pinnacles which flash in the eternal heavens.

Does national defense in America mean that our youth will be regimented to preserve the licentiousness of universal divorce which shakes the very foundations of our well-being?

Does national defense imply that the youth of our nation will be regimented to keep secure the money changers in the temple which they have appropriated?

Does national defense in our nation imply that our youth shall be conscripted to retain the iniquities of British imperialism and Soviet Communism?

Does national defense imply that the youth of our nation shall be herded into training camps to threaten industry, private property and the Constitution which once were so revered in this land?

Does national defense imply that the sons of this generation will be marshaled into an army to solve the unemployment problem?

* * *

Let there be national defense to save America for Americans.

Let there be national defense to sustain peace.

Let there be national defense to keep this country for God and His Christ—and not for the perpetuation of a political party which is more pro-internationalist in its leanings than it is pro-American in its actions.

Have You Sent in Your Report in the SOCIAL JUSTICE Presidential Poll?

REV. JAMES E. COUGHLIN
2151 NORTH 41ST
MOUNT CLEMENS, MICH.
2-3

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED